

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

# Instauration.®

VOL. 23, NO. 8

JULY 1998



H  
i  
t  
l  
e  
r,  
s  
T  
o  
t  
e  
m  
i  
c  
A  
n  
i  
m  
a  
l

# The Safety Valve



In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

Jews have written books about their complete domination of Hollywood. As Marlon Brando found out when talking to Larry King, if a Gentile says the same thing, it's "hate speech."

115

A common, unpleasant characteristic of Stalin and Pol Pot was their seething hatred of those they viewed as their betters, the intellectual and financial winners in their societies. When a young man, Pot had been sent to France to study electronics on a government scholarship. He failed his exams, lost his scholarship and returned home. I would bet that at this point his envy of those who succeeded and his rage at the teachers who "failed" him started the fire in his soul that led to his later excesses. His exposure to communism gave him a vehicle to vent his hatred for those he saw as his betters. His desire for vengeance against those who "wronged" him was an all-consuming goal for the rest of his life.

899

In *The Overspent American* by Juliet B. Schor, the author cites an unidentified mother explaining why her children go to private schools. "Our concern with the public schools is really the safety issue. I have blond-haired, blue-eyed children who are not very physical and not very aggressive, and I worry about racial interactions on playgrounds."

076

## Instauration

is published 12 times a year by  
**Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.**  
 Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

### Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)  
 \$45 (first class)  
 \$48 Canada  
 \$50 foreign (surface)  
 \$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid  
 Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

**Wilmot Robertson, editor**

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.  
 Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.  
 Advise change of address well in advance.

ISSN 0277-2302

©1998 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

At a White House press conference Sam Donaldson asked Clinton if in principle presidents should obey the law. Sam remarked the following Sunday on *This Week* that Clinton would not even agree to that proposition! In a statement Freud might have had fun with, Clinton's reply was that he was the last person who should comment on that. Many would agree!

606

Remember the fuss over a few million dollars for the Contras? How times have changed. Where Clinton's Indonesian pals are concerned, what's a mere billion bucks between friends?

022

On May 11, Larry King interviewed former Senator (and former presidential candidate) Gary Hart, who was predictably compassionate about Clinton's sex problems. Hart envied the President for doing better than Hart had in similar situations. Turning to foreign affairs Hart said that Israel can't expect to keep getting generous U.S. aid forever. King and the other panelists, Wolf Blitzer and Robert Woodward, looked off into the distance and said nothing.

118

Rap seems like a form of torture any normal human being would pay to avoid. The popularity of these "artists" poses the disquieting question of what is wrong with their audience.

537

The only revenge of the powerless is nasty gossip and well-based rumors.

785

The professors at Swarthmore College maintain that "everyone knows" the Holocaust happened. Is that why we go to college—to reaffirm what we already know?

475

The N.Y. Times front page (May 1, 1998) stated: "U.S. set to back \$1-billion outlay to aid Indonesia [although] Treasury officials acknowledged that Indonesia has failed to meet some of the most important conditions imposed by the International Monetary Fund. Suharto's family and friends continuing to fight effort to break [their] monopolies." Times, page 9: "IMF to resume aid just as human rights abuses increase [in Indone-

sia]." Times, page 18: "Hubbell performed little or no work. . . [for] the \$100,000 from a company controlled by the Riady family of Indonesia." Clinton's deep-pocketed pals, the Lippo Group, are also Indonesians.

822

Have you noted how more and more film and television roles showing people in authority are played by blacks?

910

The Parisian pictures I smuggled into the U.S. some years ago were really hot stuff back then. Now they wouldn't be daring enough for *Cosmopolitan's* cover. Movies have also changed radically. Attention used to be paid to character and plot. Now films are just special-effects competitions. The people who dream up the craziest crash, splatter the most blood or concoct the most repulsive bug from outer space are the new kings of Tinseltown.

530

I appreciate *Instauration's* interest in and respect for civilization.

200

Far too many whites continue to live in a world confused by the tolerances and motives of their black co-workers. The white race forgets it is no longer dealing with pliant blacks willing to submit to petty insults. Militant, litigious and willing to make trouble at the drop of a word, blacks are ready to bleed whites

## CONTENTS

Wolfman! . . . . .	4
In Praise of Inhibition. . . . .	7
Whither the Military. . . . .	9
A Case to Remember. . . . .	13
Broadening the Mission of NATO.	14
Nature Is Making a Comeback. . . . .	15
Backtalk. . . . .	16
Cultural Catacombs. . . . .	17
Inklings. . . . .	18
Primate Watch. . . . .	20
Talking Numbers. . . . .	21
Waspishly Yours. . . . .	22
Satcom Sam. . . . .	24
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . . .	25
Elsewhere. . . . .	26

## The Safety Valve



white in any way possible. We are in a racial war to the end, no quarter asked. Which does not mean that I go around insulting blacks. Many of them think I am sympathetic to their cause. That's the way it has to be for a guy who works in the bureaucracy. When the day of reckoning comes, I'll join the struggle for white rights. But not when the battle is over handing out "Coon-Ass" certificates to stupid black typists.

220

□ We have been getting our noses rubbed in it more than usual lately. What smells worse are depictions of beautiful white women fawning over ugly, oafish blacks. I had always considered English supermodel Elizabeth Hurley as classy, until I saw her play opposite rapper Ice Cube in the 1996 flick, *Dangerous Ground*. Her role: a crack-addicted stripper passed from one South African buck to another.

199

□ The film, *Gattaca*, is set in the fairly near future when society is divided into two groups: "Invalids," conceived normally, with all the ills that flesh is heir to, and "Valids," genetically engineered for perfection. At birth the parents of Alex, the protagonist, are warned that he has a host of defects condemning him to an inferior existence. So later they have a "perfect" test tube son. Predictably, Alex proves to be the better and stronger of the two. The plot of the film concerns his ultimately successful attempt to "pass" as a "Valid" through various tricks. Police hunting him have his picture, but that does not seem to register even though the cops frequently meet him. Interesting touch: one of the doctors touting the superiority of genetic engineering is black. As is so often the case nowadays, the plot makes no sense, although the message is clear. Eugenics is bad!

401

□ In *Fly West* author Ivan Southall writes that Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin made the defeat of the U-boat as the first priority of the Allies in WWII.

U-boat commanders and their crews were depicted in Allied propaganda as evil-smiling men indelibly stained with the blood of the innocent, whereas the crews of British and American submarines were portrayed as clean-limbed, clean-living heroes. It was simply a matter of terminology. If you called a ship that moved under

the sea a submarine, it was acceptable in polite society. If you called it a U-boat it came straight out of Hell with devils at the periscope.

119

□ Good riddance to the pompous William Ginsburg, who had his 15 weeks of fame. Let's hope he doesn't write a book.

327

□ Netanyahu says that the clash between him and Clinton is just a "family quarrel." Israel is not part of MY family, nor of 75% of Americans in a recent CNN poll, who preferred neutrality in the Jew-Arab fight.

611

□ An inner-city Seattle high school discovered that if you give students the answers to quiz questions first, they do a lot better. Self-esteem soars!

603

□ I have never been very impressed by "Jewish intelligence." I think it is largely mythical. There have always been plenty of stupid Jews.

785

□ When Dick Gephart and Jesse Jackson fan the fires of class enmity with their "soak the rich" programs, they are running around in a powder factory with lighted torches. Envy and hate of those who are more successful is a natural phenomenon. It takes surprisingly little rhetoric to ignite the blaze of class warfare. It would behoove us to constrain ourselves and count our individual blessings rather than allow ourselves to be consumed by the green-eyed monster.

509

□ While reading British philosopher Bryan Magee's *Confessions of a Philosopher*, my eyes lit upon this mind-popping statement:

Of the religions I studied, the one I found least worthy of intellectual respect was Judaism. I have no desire to offend any of my readers, but the truth is that while reading foundational Jewish texts I often found myself thinking: "How can anyone possibly believe this?"

□ A poll was taken last Mother's Day among a cross-section of Americans to determine which first lady of the U.S. the respondent would have preferred as a mother. The overwhelming choice was

Barbara Bush. Last place was Hillary Clinton. Obviously most Americans would rather have a kindly mother than a village as a parent.

203

□ My state will have an affirmative action referendum soon. What whites will lose from it is characterized as trivial. What nonwhites gain is vital. How can both be true?

983

□ When African immigrants were discovered infecting Swedish women, the doctor who blew the whistle and the Health Minister who recommended condoms as the solution lost their jobs for "gross insensitivity." The far bigger question here is what happened to the men who willingly exposed their women and children to such predators?

Swedish subscriber

□ Bums, derelicts, Negros on dope, deranged winos and every other sort of recalcitrant can be found wandering the streets at all hours of the day and night. In residential districts, porch potatoes stare at passing cars. Many buildings are defaced with horrible gangland graffiti. The roads are in need of repair, no doubt an excuse for more and more taxes, graft, vote-buying and corruption. Pawn shops, liquor stores and auto repair joints litter the landscape. Taxis are only slightly better than those in Mexico.

775

□ We are seeing terricide committed before our very eyes by the brown-skinned peoples of the world. Last year a Maine-sized piece of the Indonesian rain forest went up in flames. I write this at high-noon in Austin (TX) in May and it is no cheerful, warm, sunny spring day. Instead it is no brighter than dusk with chokingly unbreatheable air from the wafting smoke of 1,000 sq. mi. of Mexican and Guatemalan rain forest set ablaze thanks to short, squat brown descendants of Maya Indians and their slash-and-burn agricultural habits. Visibility is less than one mile. If they don't drown us with their northward-marching brown bodies, they'll suffocate us with their cookfires. We suffocate and drown in their effluvium while they breed, breed and breed. Rain forests of the world will be a thing of the past in ten years in order to assure beans and tortillas for ten billion abuelas. Verdistas, are you listening?

787

# Wolfman!

Deep in the gloomy Görlitz Forest, near a Polish town once called Rastenburg, lie the mouldering ruins of a complex of concrete bunkers. It's a lonely place. Especially at night, when branches cross the face of a full moon, it seems haunted by the spirit of the man who had this fortress built as the headquarters for his assault on Russia. When the surrounding forest shivers to that sound of dreams turning into nightmare—the desolate howling of wolves—it is easy to imagine these tunnels patrolled by the ghost of a familiar figure with a little mustache stuck on a dead-white face.

Much has been said and written about the demonic side of Adolf Hitler's nature, but little of its totemic aspect. Many ancient peoples believed that identifying a tribe with some wild creature would enable its members to share in the creature's strength, cunning and ferocity. Totemic symbolism still survives among sports teams and national emblems like the British lion and the American eagle. But no other leader of modern times has personally espoused a totem animal as Adolf Hitler did.

Whether this was due to some influence in his childhood or to the occult teachings associated with his early guru, Dietrich Eckart, Hitler appears to have been obsessed in his adult life with wolf images. It was as though his psyche had literally introjected all the characteristics of that animal. While it cannot be said that he actually suffered from lycanthropy, the belief that one actually is a wolf or some other subhuman animal, Hitler's malevolence towards his enemies does suggest a subconscious identification with a beast he may have thought of (quite wrongly) as being both ruthless and solitary. On social occasions during the early 1920s, where he was quite ill-at-ease, he often had himself introduced as "Herr Wolf." His given name is a contraction of *Adelwolf*, "noble wolf." His longest-serving personal secretary was Frau Johanna Wolf. He called his forward HQ for the invasion of France *Wolfsschlucht*, (Wolf's Throat) and the Rastenburg HQ *Wolfsschanze*, (Wolf's Lair), while to his Ukrainian HQ near Vinnitsa he gave the most appropriate name of *Were-*

wolf! (Dr. Gottfried Wagner, great-grandson of the composer, tells of how his family often socialized with Der Führer, then known to them as "Uncle Wolfie.")

Hitler himself was very much a lone wolf. He often told his closest associates, "You'll never know what I'm thinking." Even when he was holding forth until 2 o'clock in the morning with oft-repeated reminiscences, as he regularly did while stuffing himself with cream cakes, he never gave anything away. People who saw him sentimentalizing over pigtailed little girls or his Alsatian pup, Wolfie, would not have recognized the face that looked so avidly on the photos of SS atrocities he ordered rushed to him from the East, or the film he had Goebbels make of the failed bomb-plotters writhing on meat hooks.

Such dissimulation was essential to a man who had so much to hide. But Adolf's native secrecy may have been reinforced by his contact with the Thule Society, an occult circle nursing ancient ideas of Aryan supremacy. We know that Eckart taught him how to behave socially, and to eschew tavern-brawling as inappropriate for a rising young politico. Did someone in that circle also teach him some black-magic technique for strengthening his will and projecting his personality by identifying himself with the power of a totem animal? Be that as it may, we know that Hitler could cast an extraordinary spell when he needed to do so. Time after time he bent to his own way of thinking a conference of generals who only afterwards would realize that their objections were still valid.

The high brass objected to every territorial step he took and were forever counseling caution. But in the early days Hitler always seemed to be right! In the Rhineland, Austria, Czechoslovakia, he got away with it. Even later—in Greece—and the lightning grab of Yugoslavia from under Stalin's nose—his famous "intuition" seemed to be infallible. (Its first great failure would not come until January 1941, when he assured Mussolini, "If the Americans enter the war, we shall have nothing to fear from them.") However impressive his knowledge of the Old World, Hitler knew nothing of the New. Despite being warned many



times against waging "a war on two fronts," Hitler, who dismissed America as a "mongrel nation," never grasped the enormous potential of either the United States or the Soviet Union.)

It was the string of early successes which wore down the resistance of his generals. In the nationwide euphoria after the seemingly miraculous conquest of Western Europe, how could almost any German resist the conviction that here was indeed a man destined to reverse the humiliations of Versailles and lead his people to new heights? Hadn't he—in only six years!—lifted them from their knees, up from the misery of the Great Depression and the political confusion of the Communist-tinted Weimar Republic, to make Germany the greatest power in Europe? Though many were unhappy with his persecution of the Jews, Hitler saw to it that their unhappiness was reinforced by an element of vengeance. His propaganda laid all blame for the harshly punitive terms of the 1919 Versailles Peace Agreement on "international financiers," particularly Jewish ones.

Nazi propaganda convinced most Germans that wicked Jewish financiers were behind all of their economic woes. That impression was reinforced by World Jewry's declaration of war against Hitler in 1933 and by the boycott of German exports led by New York's Rabbi Stephen Wise and pioneer Zionist Chaim Weizmann. Given the crafty exploitation of all this by Joseph Goebbels, rising anti-Semitism in the 1930s is at least understandable. Many Germans could remember how harsh the terms of Versailles had been, how great their loss of territory and population, how burdensome the reparations. Hundreds of thousands had died of hunger and disease because the Allied Powers vindictively kept up their naval blockade for months after the end of the war. All of this must be taken into account in judging the generals' acceptance of Hitler's leadership—at least until the invasion of Poland, for until then they had not really known their Wolfman.

His most direct appeal to the general public was through spectacle and speeches, best exemplified in Leni Riefenstahl's extraordinary film, *Triumph of the Will*. But Hitler's popularity in the 1930s also had a more solid basis. A masterly politician, he had set out from the beginning of his rule to woo the common people by introducing paid vacations and a new-style democracy in the workplace. He insisted that business must serve the community, not least by providing sports facilities. His National Labor Service, drafting people from different social strata into public works projects, such as the building of the *Autobahnen* and workers' housing, did much to break down the old imperial class structure. Foreign visitors noticed a new dynamism in the German social order. Even the patrician Max Warburg saw positive aspects to National Socialism, writing to his nephew Jimmy in New York, "It is a pity that this movement, which has so much good in it, is encumbered with so much rubbish, and that its anti-Semitism makes it impossible for us to line up in formation with it." Everything Hitler did (leaving aside the

Jews) was designed to foster community spirit and the *Ein Volk* unity so necessary to his goal of conquest. And it paid off. While other nations suffered through the Great Depression, the German leader was putting his people to work. To quote Ron Chernow, author of *The Warburgs*: "As Schacht's wizardry revived the economy, unemployment plunged from 6 million to 1.6 million in Hitler's first two years in power—it made the Führer heroic to the masses." Why shouldn't he have been popular, when it was due to his policies that the health and living standards of German workers in 1939 were far superior to those of the class-ridden British?

On top of all of this, Hitler as a public speaker was truly phenomenal. Better-educated people, particularly members of academe and the Junker aristocracy, often despised him for his Austrian accent, his neglect of classical German, his nondescript personal history. "A marginal painter of postcards, a mere corporal?" Adolf's social "betters" often sneered when he began to speak. Then something remarkable would happen, even to them. As if some mesmeric current had suddenly been switched on, he grabbed his audience at a gut-level and thereafter held them in the palm of his hand.

Apart from this gift of a weirdly tribal oratory, Hitler owed much of his hold on people to keeping his inner wolfishness well-hidden from the world. His open anti-Semitism was the only clue to its existence. If his totemic self peeped through the clumsy verbalisms of *Mein Kampf*, most people dismissed them as fantasy. Even through all the horrors of WWII, he covered the track of the wolf so well that many people still doubt his responsibility for it. As General von Manstein was to testify at Nuremberg, "The Final Solution was a masterpiece of concealment." But to base a denial of Hitler's guilt on the absence of any signed order specifically linking him to the massacre of Jews, as David Irving does, is foolish. Like Stalin, Hitler took care to cover himself.

Throughout his early and largely bloodless triumphs, Hitler cleverly preserved the image of a relatively civilized man—certainly more civilized than Stalin. His propagandists could argue that Germany had some legitimate claim to the territories acquired prior to the invasion of Poland. Even the invasion of Poland could not be entirely faulted, inasmuch as chunks of Prussia had been given to Poland at Versailles. But it was in that country that Hitler first let the wolf out! He'd kept Himmler's SS and the Gestapo on a short leash until then. In Poland he let them loose. For fear of offending the Bolsheviks holding the other half of that country, he could not yet unleash the massive destruction of Polish Jewry which would follow his invasion of Russia. But he was making a start. And Jews were not the only target here. He wanted the whole head of Poland cut off! Officers, priests, academics, politicians—all who might be capable of leadership were to be annihilated. That was his direct order to Himmler.

It is to be remembered here that this decapitation of a

subject people had a ghastly precedent, for Hitler had seen the Bolsheviks doing just that to rebellious Ukraine. Even down to his concentration camps, Hitler's regime was largely modeled on that of the Bolshevik. Stalin's inhumanity encouraged Hitler's! If the Soviet dictator could get away with having some 7 to 8 million people systematically starved to death in the Ukrainian Holocaust of 1932-33, why should Wolfman blink at a mere million in Poland? Himmler had posed that question at a time when Nazi leaders still toyed with the fantasy of resolving "the Jewish question" by shipping them all off to Madagascar. As quoted in Gerald Fleming's *Hitler and the Final Solution*—a book which documents Adolf's personal involvement in that event—Himmler said in 1940 that "out of inner conviction" he rejected "the physical extermination of a race through Bolshevik methods as un-Germanic and impractical." Convictions? Was Himmler kidding himself or just his "Circle of Friends," those Western industrialists and financiers who were then backing Hitler against the Bolshevik threat?

In any event, the wolf was out, his black-uniformed minions killing and torturing (as no wild animal ever could) throughout that half of Poland which Hitler had secretly agreed with Stalin should be his. And people who had hitherto been making every allowance for Adolf could no longer deny his real nature. For them, it was as if the relatively civilized face of a statesman had hinged down to let the wolf glare out, its swastika-pupilled eyes fixed on the prey, its jaws frothing in delight. His generals were appalled. Regular Army men, accustomed to a soldier's code, were disgusted by what the SS and Gestapo were doing in Poland. They tried their best to have the worst offenders prosecuted.

This alone should cast doubt on Daniel J. Goldhagen's claim that German soldiers—and civilians—were all full-bore Nazis. Whatever the atrocities committed in the East, the Wehrmacht as a whole had no use for either the SS or Gestapo. Army regulars despised them, resenting both their arrogance and their cruelty. Wehrmacht units in Paris took great pleasure in arresting Nazi extremists when it was thought that the 1944 bomb plot on Hitler's life at Rastenburg had succeeded.

The efforts of the generals in Poland to bring Himmler's murderers to justice were blocked by Hitler. He issued a decree that SS personnel could only be tried by

their own courts, thus removing them entirely from the jurisdiction of the Wehrmacht. Hitler told his generals in May 1941, a month before Barbarossa, that the war against the Soviet Union was to be a racial and ideological struggle in which all civilized rules must be suspended and no quarter given to the *Untermenschen*. According to Pierre Galante's *Hitler Lives and the Generals Die*, Hitler repeated this genocidal message in March 1942:


I don't want to hear any talk of humanitarianism where the East is concerned. They are all Asiatics. Stalin and the Czars knew how to handle such people, and we must adopt the same methods. . . . That's why I have taken this responsibility out of the hands of the Wehrmacht and entrusted it to certain Party officials who are less fastidious about such matters. . . ."

Hitler was very wrong about the nature of his adversaries. Most Russians were not Asiatic at all. Many were descendants of Scandinavian invaders and those proudly independent Black Sea Scythians who had given the Romans so much trouble. They were tremendously brave, devoted to Mother Russia and often tougher fighters than the German troops. Blinded by his racism, Hitler would not listen, any more than he listened to his generals in the field. That towering will which had earlier brought him triumph over all their reservations was now bringing disaster.

Unable to give up on his self-allotted destiny, he began to shun reality, becoming ever more isolated within a circle of yes-men. The great irony is that if Hitler had only had the sense to keep his wolf locked up, things in Russia might have gone differently—and the West been spared a long struggle with "the Evil Empire." For a great many people in Stalin's domain initially welcomed the Germans as liberators, over a million defecting to their side. What could be worse, they thought, than the Bolshevik tyranny? General Hans Guderian tells in *Panzer!* of how warmly he was at first received by Russian peasants who would not let him leave their village until he had sampled their hospitality. That friendliness would soon turn into the hatred of outraged partisans.

For now, indeed, the Wolf was loose in Adolf's "East." And decent soldiers like Guderian could only watch with revulsion as it rampaged in the guise of Himmler's *Einsatzgruppen* and "Police Battalions."

PETER J. LORDEN



# In Praise of Inhibition

If you've ever had to spend time with an uninhibited person, you will readily understand why inhibitions were invented and why "up tight," "repressed" and "anal retentive"—all synonyms for inhibited—have bad connotations. All such tags are more likely to be associated with whites than with people of color. The consequent implication is that black folks are superior—or at least more "natural"—because they are freer of hang-ups.

The "happy darky idea" is not a new one. Explicit or implicit, it underlies a big chunk of popular culture. The Jewish intelligentsia (especially Norman Mailer) have long championed the Negro. Majority savants have sometimes jumped on the bandwagon, as in the 1920s era of the Harlem Renaissance, when white writers such as Sherwood Anderson (*Dark Laughter*) and Carl Van Vechten (*Nigger Heaven*) found the complicated white man paled in comparison to his darker, simpler brethren. The assumption is that Negroes and other primitive races are more in touch with nature. That their nature does not include inhibition does not mean that we can—or should attempt to—imitate it.

According to the pop psychologists, inhibition wreaks havoc on a person's emotional life. In articles on child-rearing, shyness is treated as a handicap that can be overcome, not as an asset. It is time to do an about-face and appreciate just how much inhibition enriches emotional life. When we look at the great romantic figures of Western literature, we find a stumbling block that inhibits fulfillment. Lancelot and Guinevere, Dido and Aeneas, Romeo and Juliet, Pyramus and Thisbe, Eloise and Abelard, Troilus and Cressida, there is always something, whether external circumstances or emotional speed bumps, inhibiting the free flow of "true love." Classic romances, such as *Jane Eyre* and *Wuthering Heights*, as well as the mass market romances, all traffic in inhibition. Are the lovers going to bridge the gap or not? If so, how long will it take? To the Negro, this "tain't no big thang." Blacks wonder why whites don't just "get it on." The obvious reason is that these are tales of romance, not of sex, and romance is a complicated emotion. Sex, needless to say, is a relatively uncomplicated physical act. Emotional complexity is not a characteristic of the Negro. His art, whether ear-splitting music with heavily accented rhythms, bright colored, childlike painting or "in your face" poetry is not renowned for its subtlety. Though white folks are the primary performers in pornography, the very concept of porn is more closely related to Negro sensibilities. In adult films sex ain't no big thing. Participants get it on early and often—no courtship, no flirting. Inhibitions are OK for Nordic prom queens but not Nordic porn queens.

In its purest form inhibition is simply pausing to look before you leap. That you may end up not leaping is hardly a drawback. On the contrary it is the hallmark of civilization. Sociobiologists have often written of female coyness and its importance in postponing intercourse. A lengthy courtship period gives the female time to size up the male, to assess his character and his worth as a provider. Love at first sight is much more risky. It may get the



John Coltrane makes Negro noise

hormones churning—for a while—but it is more likely to result in a self-destructive relationship. Gather enough self-destructive relationships bonded by marriage (and almost as frequently terminated by divorce) and you eventually end up with a self-destructive society. But you'd never guess this by following the dictates of popular culture, where hot monkey love is held out as the be-all and end-all of human existence. Even a poorly written film like *Titanic* strikes gold when it traffics in this theme.

Inhibition refers not just to sexuality but to almost all other forms of human behavior. We might offer in evidence such related nouns as prudence, discretion, caution, foresight—none of which readily comes to mind when we ponder the Negro. In his typical showboating style, he is not really difficult to analyze. His behavior is open for all to see. For that reason he is not a particularly interesting psychological subject. White folks can be exhibitionistic or inhibited, depending on external circum-

stances, internal mood or chemical stimuli. We can exhibit it for all to see or we can inhibit and keep 'em guessing. Psychologically the white man is a tougher nut to crack (which may be why the very field of psychology remains something of a Eurocentric monopoly). His mental and emotional life, hence his society and culture, are not only more complex and more intricate but potentially much more rewarding.

The white man with his trusty inhibitions knows when to step on the gas and when to hit the brakes. In literature he knows that what is left unsaid is often as important as what is said, that what is not shown in painting or cinema is often as important as the actual content (though the long-term trend towards more graphic portrayals in all media shows that we are becoming more and more minority-ized). Even in the white man's advertising, the white space is as important to the design as what is filled up with artwork and text.

In the realm of music, silence or at least pianissimo is as important as fortissimo. Popular stereotypes to the contrary, the Negro is not more "musical" than the white man. It is just that his music, like his behavior, lacks subtlety. Very little emotional complexity is required on the listener's part to "appreciate" Negro music, which is dependent almost entirely on volume and rhythm.

As American popular culture becomes more negroized and latinized, inhibition will continue to recede into the background. This is not to say that minority art is meretricious across the board. Latin music, for example, is very listenable. But the emotions tend to be very obvious. Very sad (*muy triste*), very animated (*muy allegro*). But wistful? Pensive? Melancholy? Hardly ever!

It is this constant interplay between exhibition and inhibition (or, if you prefer, between Dionysian and Apollonian) that makes great art so open-ended and why generations are willing to discuss and debate the meaning of it all. When you meet a reserved person, is there not an air of mystery about him? What's going on in that head, in that heart? What's going on underneath that calm exterior? By contrast the Negro, the exhibitionist, the extrovert, is wide open for all to see. If he is a jovial black, he may even be fun to be around. But the more time you spend with him, the less interesting he becomes.

Inhibition implies an intrinsic form of self-control. The irrepressible Negro inevitably finds himself the object of repression, no matter where in the world he makes his home. That the Nordic race is more inhibited by nature is obvious by the preponderance of lighter eye color. As Morgan Worthy noted in *Eye Color, Sex, and Race*, light-eyed creatures (not just *Homo sapiens*) are more likely to be hesitant or inhibited, more at home with solitude. In the animal kingdom they are renowned as great stalkers. Cats or birds of prey come to mind. The dark-eyed creatures are more reactive and responsive and have a greater need to flock with their own kind.

It is to be expected that as the proportion of dark-eyed people grows, life in America will get more uninhibited—

and more unlivable for old-stock Americans. Even Freud had to admit that civilization could not exist without inhibition. If the inhibitory impulse is built into the race, it is not repression, since inhibition is merely another mode of the way the race expresses itself. What may be intolerable repression to the Negro is instinct to the white man. In that sense, imposing a dominant white culture over a Negro subculture must always entail repression. The Negro's ultimate revenge is that the white man, by repressing him, absorbs some of his uninhibitedness and becomes more negroified.

In the realm of sex it should be obvious where our lack of inhibitions leads us. After all, which society is likely to have higher rates of venereal disease, illegitimacy and broken homes? One with built-in inhibitions or one where anything goes? Sex is the very paradigm of what psychologists call the approach/avoidance conflict. There are many good reasons to have sex (reproduction, intimacy, pleasure). There are just as many not to have sex (venereal disease, unwanted pregnancy or even the proverbial headache).

Keeping in mind the sexual behavior and the perennially low IQ of the Negro, it is hard not to wonder if inhibition correlates with intelligence. Does a more developed cerebral cortex necessitate greater inhibition? Surely the ability to stop and think, to analyze, to reconnoiter—in other words, to enter a stage of inhibition—has adaptive value in almost any environment. Impulsive behavior can tend to be psychological suicidal, which is why we monitor children endlessly until they grow into their inhibitions.

Inhibition does not mean comatose, immobile or indecisive. It is merely the flip side of exhibition. We can choose a be-attitude or a not-to-be attitude—or both. By way of contrast the Negro has only an attitude.

JUDSON HAMMOND

### Mary Sue

Let me recall the sleeping wonder of her eyes,  
The weighty coil of hair about her neck,  
Her folded hands;  
Let me draw her portrait, fondly wise,  
Womanly as she was meant to be;  
And I will pass the sorrows and goodbyes,  
And I will think of her, and think of me,  
And not of anguish nor of sighs.

# Whither the Military

The Atlantic Monthly is a rather high-toned, reasonably liberal magazine, far more interesting and honest than most. It almost always has at least one or two meaty articles and fascinating fare on international affairs, national politics and social science, together with solid reviews of plays, books and movies. If it means anything, it is the only liberal magazine that I read on a regular basis.

The July 1998 issue contained an article that is must reading for all Instaurationists working on the problem of how we are going to get from here to there, "there" being a new America purged of the poisons now infecting it. The article, "The Widening Gap Between the Military and Society," was written by Thomas E. Ricks, the Pentagon reporter for the Wall Street Journal. It is a grim warning for the liberal-minority coalition that currently rules the roost. Coming as it does from a mouthpiece of the neoconservative clique that has turned the Republican Party into an ineffectual collection of shills for greedy rich and gasbag intellectuals like George Will and William Bennett, it is also meant to awaken them to the danger

background. Thus his, "I am shocked, shocked!" routine in announcing the discovery of a surging climate of hard-rightist opinion in the U.S. military, which he claims is developing into some kind of weird, alien superpatriotic subculture, divorced from the broader U.S. society.

Ricks is well aware of the true state of affairs. It is absolutely correct that what Instaurationists would call "Majority thought" is taking hold inside the armed forces. In many cases the process has gone much further, the most notable (but by no means the only) example being the Army Special Forces political organization that publishes "The Resister." It is a fact that military combat units, especially in the army and the Marine Corps, are being increasingly filled with whites, while minorities are drifting into administrative and support fields—hardly surprising since minorities usually join up to get "three hots and a cot," while many white men join to be warriors.

The bizarre antics of the Clinton administration included the "Gays in the Military" farce and the notorious incident in which former White House spokesperson DeeDee Myers reportedly insulted the much decorated General

Barry McCaffrey (currently the nation's Drug Czar). His appointment had to be a payoff for keeping his cool in the aftermath of the incident. The suicide of the Chief of Naval Operations, Admiral Boorda, an incredible event prompted by his exposure as a fraud prone to wearing decorations he had not been awarded, was seen by most civilians as merely an odd, if tragic, incident. The effect of the suicide was far more profound in the military, where honor and integrity are supposed to be sacred tenets. When one pours into this cauldron the disgusting sex scandals (almost all involving Negro NCOs preying on young white



George Will



William Bennett

Killers of the Republican Party

that looms over them and their "Tweedle Dee, Tweedle Dum" version of American democracy.

Journalists like Ricks can be clever. I am not exactly sure what his game is in the article concerned. There is no question that Ricks is an enemy. If I had to guess, I would say he is a Wall Street Journal mole, lightly disguised as a Jack Kemp Republican. We can assume Ricks has covered the military for several years and may even have a military

female recruits), plus the disastrous morale problems in the air force, plus the unending deployments to support Clinton's obsession with UN "peacekeeping" and "peacemaking," plus the administrative lynching of an air force general by Secretary of Defense William Cohen (the Chief of Staff of the air force resigned in protest)—considering all the above, the armed forces do not represent an organization that decent Americans would want to join. Cohen

is a race-mixing, pompous, crypto-liberal Republican from Maine much given to writing poetry, a common vice of unctuous windbags. In view of the steady decline of the military budget, it is a miracle that there hasn't been a mutiny already. Ricks knows that the field grade and junior officers are seething with rage over what Clinton has done to the *esprit de corps*, as are the dedicated NCOs and the soldiers, sailors, airmen and Marines they lead.

Ricks attempts to paint military discontent as some kind of deviant virus that has flourished in the stark soil of a Prussianized U.S. army, a harsh lichen growing on the rigidly frozen pates of military men unable to "adapt" to a changing America. What Ricks knows perfectly well is that the discontent in the military and the overt hard right-tilt it has assumed are simply reflections of the growing identification of professional military men with the "Middle American Revolt," to use a term coined by journalist and commentator Samuel Francis. This Middle American movement (which might just as well be known as the Majority movement) has not yet assumed the clear racial character necessary for its ultimate success, but it is rapidly moving in that direction. The truth is that it is virtually impossible for honest men to continue to ignore the racial and ethnic core of the problem facing America. Some elements of the population that will eventually throw in their lot with the movement are still trying to tiptoe around the issue. Generally they are sound paleoconservatives who still cannot bear the loss of "respectability" that attends any open declaration of racial common sense. Others, such as the Promise Keepers, are still so eaten up with muddle-headed multiracial nonsense that they may be years wandering in the wilderness before the essential fact that they constitute an overwhelmingly white organization with exclusively Majority long-term interests dawns on them. A few, such as the Special Forces men affiliated with "The Resister," are keeping a Sphinx-like silence, professing not to know about all this race talk, but knowing full well that it is the central issue that will have to be faced.

The disaffection of the U.S. military from the existing system and from the current political leadership (not just Clinton, I include the entire crew, Republicans and Democrats) is a critical element in the rise of what we call the Majority movement, broadly defined. There is nothing strange or unexpected here and men like Ricks know it—and fear it.

The neoconservative conspirators who successfully hijacked the Republican Party and the "respectable" conservative think tanks and organizations have watched in horror as the grass roots revolt of the American Majority is beginning to shrug off the pitiful efforts to contain it. Even the National Review and the American Spectator have started to nervously trim their sails. If they keep it up, I may have to subscribe! It comes as no surprise that a chap such as Ricks should continue to pretend that he does not know the score and that the Majority movement is poised to sweep away the burnt-out hulk of the Republican Party,

possibly in the year 2000 elections. What choice does he have? But he can't cover the sun with his thumb. Neither can his paymasters.

Ricks begins his article with a tale of following a platoon of young Marines through boot camp at Parris Island. After these men have been whipped into shape and turned into Soldiers of the Sea, they go on leave. They don't like what they see back home. The Marines are outspoken in their contempt for the aimless, drugged, drunken, loafing creatures they encounter back on the block. Ricks tut-tuts over this, claiming to find evidence of a "widening gap" between today's military and civilian America. There is no problem with the body-pierced punks, "wiggers" and ghetto crawlers. No sir! The problem lies with the fit, dedicated, motivated Marines. They refuse to "adapt" to "America."

Ricks outlines with evidence of disapproval the idea that Marine discipline, self-sacrifice and devotion to a higher ideal conflict with the "me-centered" American of today. The disapproval, naturally, is for the Marine virtues. Should we be shocked that a man such as Ricks feels closer to the rap-singing trash and vapid airheads of MTV than he does to professional military men?

Ricks is truly alarmed by the apparent creation of a separate, self-contained military caste in America, a military caste that views itself as made of better and sterner stuff than run-of-the-mill Americans, a military whose principles and basic beliefs—not to mention whose professional and economic interests—clash with those of what Ricks calls "The Elite." I was unaware of the existence of such a body in the U.S., but assume he refers to the East Coast Ivy League-New York axis. \*

The article goes on to explain that the Cold War military of draftees and short service volunteers is changing into something more closely resembling what America had back in the days of the Indian Wars: an army of hard-bitten, isolated professional, long-service soldiers, cut off from most of civilian society. Most ominous for Ricks, this army (and he means the military as a whole) is largely officered by rightwingers, people very close to what people like Ricks call "right-wing extremists." And the rightward tilt is growing.

Ricks makes the following statement about the passing of the Vietnam Era/draftee/Cold War officer corps: "[It] will make it easier for the military and the liberal professionals of the middle class to look upon each other with contempt." Did you catch that? "The liberal professionals of the middle class." Where I live, very few middle-class professionals are liberals. Unsurprisingly they are pretty conservative. Ditto for the white working class.

Ricks is not worried about a "lack of understanding" between the military and civilian society. He is deeply disturbed that the military is leaning towards throwing its support to a tough, conservative, hard-right position. A Majority position. Ricks is right to be worried. The process he describes is actually underway, in part thanks to the tireless work of Instaurationists and others who have de-

voted time and effort to reaching out to the military. (That many of us are former military men ourselves made the task somewhat easier.) A showdown is coming in America and we know—and Ricks knows—that the side with the guns and the numbers will win. We have the numbers. We intend to have the guns and the men best-trained in using them.

### The Model of Pinochet

Ricks lets slip either his ignorance or his cunning near the end of the article. He mentions something written by a Marine officer who used the coup launched by General Augusto Pinochet in Chile in 1973 as an example of what happens when the armed forces become an "independent actor" in domestic politics. The author, Major Robert Newton, makes the claim that the Chilean military "broke from society" when Chilean society elected a Communist president. The result was the coup.

The use of the Chilean example when exploring the possible future actions of the U.S. armed forces is useful and appropriate, but not for the reasons Major Newton and Ricks put forth.

The Chilean coup of 1973—really an incipient civil war, headed off by firm and decisive action by the military—is completely misunderstood, thanks to the ignorance and/or leftist bias of most journalists. The Chilean military did not "break" with Chilean society when it removed President Salvador Allende from power. The military reluctantly intervened in response to the wishes of the majority of the Chilean people, who had watched in anger and frustration as a president elected with a plurality, not a majority (sound familiar?), attempted to use his thin constitutional mandate to destroy Chilean democracy and install a Communist dictatorship.

The Chilean military probably waited too long to intervene. An earlier intervention could have been accomplished with far less loss of life and political turmoil. That the military was fully justified in what it did and that it was supported by the majority of the Chilean population is beyond dispute. Not even the Communists bother to deny that now.

Allende came into power because the vote in Chile was split three ways. Though an avowed Marxist, he was essentially an ordinary Chilean politician. In allowing him to be elected, Chileans never imagined that he would use the office of the presidency to undermine constitutional order and invite in foreign terrorists and intelligence agents to begin the process of putting a Communist stranglehold on Chilean society.

Almost as soon as he gained the presidency, Allende allowed gangs of Communist thugs to begin the "nationalization" of private businesses and land. This usually amounted to outright theft, with armed groups of Communist workers seizing the factories and farms where they were employed. Agitators were sent out to organize this "work" and militants were imported to the places they were needed. Cuban, Soviet and East German intelligence

agents poured into the country, quickly organizing a "worker's militia," in direct violation of Chilean law. Tons of arms were clandestinely brought in on Cuban fishing boats. Terrorists from all over Latin America flocked to Chile, to add their talents to those of the local MIR (Movement of the Revolutionary Left). The vise began to close on freedom of the press, as the government tried to shut down the Chilean newspaper, *El Mercurio*, one of the most respected in Latin America.

The Chilean congress, controlled by the opposition parties, and the Supreme Court looked on aghast. Repeated attempts by both to make Allende see reason and rein in the Communist extremists fell on deaf ears. Fidel Castro had made sure that Allende was totally surrounded by



General Pinochet taught some valuable lessons

hardcore Communists. (One of Allende's daughters married a Cuban intelligence officer. She later committed suicide when he dumped her following the end of his "mission.") Castro's notorious month-long visit to Chile made the situation worse. The country was clearly heading towards civil war.

Enter General Pinochet, commander of the Chilean army. The personality of Pinochet has been lost in the controversy over the coup and its aftermath. To the Left, he is a monster, an ogre of such horrible proportions that his mere gaze would turn good men to salt. To the rest of us, he seems like one of the South American dictators out of "Moon Over Parador," a sinister but perhaps somewhat comic figure.

In reality, Pinochet was a fairly typical Latin American military man, with a solid career in an army widely considered to be the most professional and non-political in Latin America. While he was a social conservative, as military men tend to be, he was no raging rightist. Indeed he was generally apolitical and had never been involved in any political activity. What's more, he was no trampler of worker's rights. He was an intelligent man who knew reform was needed to reduce the social inequality and poverty that existed (and exists) in Chile. First and foremost he was a soldier.

Pinochet was handpicked by Allende as commander of the army, in large part because the General was known

to be a political "neutral"—an accurate assessment at the time Allende made it. But the pressure of events would change Pinochet, as it would many Chilean officers, and force him to take sides. As tensions worsened in the country, it became clear that drastic action would have to be taken. Half-hearted attempts at a coup by disgruntled officers and the assassination of a leftist general were signals that the time for "neutrality" was fast disappearing. The choices were stark and grim. Do nothing and watch Allende and the Communists turn the country into another Cuba. Or strike while the military had the means to do so and the Reds were not yet prepared for a showdown. To Pinochet the path of duty was clear. To do nothing was to betray his country and his people. The oath of loyalty he had taken to a Communist politician was meaningless when that politician was conspiring with terrorists and foreign enemies.

Pinochet was no fool. He blamed the bickering, cynical non-Communist lawyer/politicians as much as he did Allende for the mess his country was in. When the time came, he would deal with them as well.

With incredible tact and skill Pinochet began to consider the political leanings of his fellow officers, weighing them in his mind one by one. Like any institution, the Chilean Army had its share of time servers, yes-men, opportunists and political hacks, all of them ready to sell out their people for a promotion or a sweet civilian job after retirement. Other officers were just plain gutless and couldn't or wouldn't confront the true enormity of the situation. These characters were carefully sifted out. Fidel Castro himself had warned Allende not to trust the army, which the Cubans knew was basically patriotic and anti-Communist.

With infinite patience, Pinochet moved units here and there, positioning them to be ready when the order was given. On the other side, the Communist sensed what was coming. They worked frantically to stockpile arms and train the worker's militia. It was a race against time.

Pinochet's hand was forced when a Chilean naval intelligence unit raided a terrorist safe house and discovered that the MIR and the other Communist extremists had developed a plan to decapitate the Chilean navy at one blow by murdering senior naval officers in their beds. Similar plans doubtlessly existed for the army and air force.

When Pinochet finally committed himself to removing Allende, he moved with pitiless determination and ruthlessness. Military units struck in all parts of the country simultaneously, knocking the Communists to their knees before they could react. Resistance was fierce, but disorganized. Within hours it was clear that the coup was a success. Allende, holed up in the Presidential Palace with a gang of Communist toughs, committed suicide in despair. It was fitting that he blew his brains out with a weapon Fidel Castro had given him. Ambition and a lack of character had led Allende to betray Chile and the Chilean people. He paid a terrible price, as did his country. Most of the foreign Communists and the Chilean loud-

mouths who had brought on the disaster decided to funk it, forgetting all their brave words of fighting to the death. They would live for years in places like Paris and Stockholm on the credulous charity of gullible Europeans, who had been spoon-fed the leftist version of events in Chile.

With the armed opposition out of the way, Pinochet prepared to give Chile a housecleaning. The sleek, perfumed traditional politicians who emerged after the coup fully expected Pinochet to turn power over to them, presumably so they could recreate the conditions that had caused the coup in the first place. Pinochet had other ideas. The politicians were told to shut up and go home. Pinochet took charge and eventually restored democracy in Chile. Our pundits may not give him his due, but history will.

Returning to the article by Ricks, he finishes it with a burst of wildly impractical, hare-brained ideas to slow the growth of patriotic Majority sentiment in the military. Reinstate the draft, expand ROTC, encourage officers to pursue civilian careers by cutting service requirements for military academy graduates. Send military officers seeking higher degrees to civilian universities. Since most already do get higher degrees at these universities, it's easy to understand why they are so disgusted with our "elites." They have seen them in action. Ricks also recommends bringing people into the military later in life, "even at the lieutenant colonel level." Just what we need. Overweight stockbrokers and college professors put in charge of infantry battalions. Enlist more "inner city youths." Why, Mr. Ricks, do you think we had race riots in the military during the Vietnam War? Introducing crackheads into the ranks will hardly cause morale to soar.

Ricks ends his article by quoting a writer on the situation in Yugoslavia and the former Soviet Bloc claiming "the new middle class" has to become involved in the military. I don't know about any "new middle class," but the old American Majority middle class that I am familiar with was mighty involved in the military. My father was, I was. All the middle-class folks I know support the military, have served in it or have sons who have served. The problem is the class of people Ricks is hanging out with. I guess there aren't too many "middle-class professionals" with military backgrounds at 77 N. Washington Street, Boston, where the Atlantic Monthly is published. There sure as hell aren't many in Manhattan, where the WSJ is vomited forth each day.

As I have said, a showdown is coming. I do not see how the situation can be resolved peacefully, which means that violence will almost certainly play the major role in deciding the power relationships in the new America. People's militias, similar to those we have seen in recent years, will play a role in overthrowing the liberal establishment. The role of the regular military will be critical. If the country continues on the path described by Ricks, let us pray for a U.S. version of Pinochet.

N.B. FOREST

# A Case to Remember

**F**ive and a half years ago, President George Bush commuted the federal prison sentence of a convicted felon named Joseph Occhipinti. His offense? According to New York City's mayor, to prominent civic leaders and to the prosecutor, the judge and the jury that convicted him in 1991, Occhipinti had spearheaded a reign of terror in a Manhattan neighborhood.

If you think Joe Occhipinti was a Mafioso, think again.

Joseph Occhipinti had been an intrepid and highly effective investigator for the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service. Thanks to his fluency in Italian and Sicilian dialects, he had been assigned to combat the Mafia. But as the Mafia was eclipsed by bigger, more powerful and far more vicious Latin American and Asiatic competitors, Occhipinti became an expert on Chinese, Dominican and other brands of organized alien thuggery. No cloistered theorist, Joe Occhipinti made thousands of arrests, personally or through the dozens of operations he headed. Before his 22-year federal career ended ruinously in 1992, Occhipinti had become perhaps the most highly decorated INS officer, with some 80 decorations and distinctions, including three Attorney General's Awards, the highest the Department of Justice confers.

How then did this paragon go down? To answer that question, to relate how Joe Occhipinti fell to America's fantastic swing from 19th-century "nativism" to what Joseph Sobran has called the "alienism" of our present immigration policy, we must travel to the New York City neighborhood of Washington Heights.

At the northern end of Manhattan, the Heights was heavily white until shortly after 1965, the year such solons as Jacob Javits and Ted Kennedy helped pass an immigration law designed to make America an annex of the Third World. The Heights was soon swamped by immigrants from the Dominican Republic, Haiti's Spanish-speaking, slightly lighter-skinned neighbor. Thanks to New York City's munificent welfare and lax law enforcement policies, by 1988 Washington Heights was the Big Apple's most dangerous police precinct, a warren for illegal and often criminal aliens, a hatchery for instant Americans, to be added to the welfare rolls as quickly as they arrived.

In 1988 a New York City police officer, Michael Buczek, was shot dead while attempting to apprehend a fleeing drug dealer. When, out of complicity or terror, no witnesses emerged to identify the murderers, Joe Occhipinti, at that time head of the INS's elite Anti-Smuggling Unit in New York City, decided to search for Buczek's killers and to strike at the heart of organized crime in Washington Heights.

Most Americans are unaware that INS investigators are

empowered to make arrests of aliens wherever they find them. To be sure, such powers are exercised ever more infrequently by an agency that increasingly sees itself, in line with U.S. policy from the White House down, chiefly as a smiling greeter and dispenser of benefits, including American citizenship, to virtually all comers.

Occhipinti had no reservations about enforcing U.S. law against alien offenders. He formed a task force of federal Drug Enforcement Agency members and New York police officers to search for evidence of Officer Buczek's drug-dealing murderers. Fluent in Spanish, experienced in solving Dominican drug crimes, Occhipinti quickly pinpointed promising targets for investigation: the proliferation of small grocery stores (bodegas) and travel agencies that line the streets of Washington Heights. Many of them, as Occhipinti and his fellow officers had reason to be aware, were little more than fronts for laundering drug money and for a bewildering array of other crooked activities, including document fraud (from green cards to U.S. passports), food stamp and food coupon scams, gambling and illegal sale of weapons. As such these shops were a vital component of Washington Heights's chief industry, the sale of crack cocaine.

Operation Bodega, having made quick inroads into the dope business and various immigration scams, solved the murder of Officer Michael Buczek. The evident killer died in police custody in the Dominican Republic, an alleged suicide, just before he was to be extradited to the U.S. in June 1989. Thereupon dozens of arrests were made for drug, immigration and other offenses. A mountain of illegal and counterfeit documents were seized. At the time, Occhipinti was hot on the trail of one of the crack kingpins of America, the Dominican immigrant Freddie Then.

The onslaught against alien crime in Washington Heights came to a quick halt, then to full reverse, after David Dinkins was elected New York City's first black mayor in 1989. While in a slightly less imperfect world Dinkins and the rest of New York's black establishment might have resented the Dominicans' unpleasant propensity for selling American blacks the terrifyingly addictive crack, in fact Dinkins received important financial support from Dominican "businessmen," and Washington Heights voters—many with dubious electoral credentials. This support had been crucial in providing Dinkins with his narrow majority.

On April 4, 1990, Dinkins condemned Project Bodega before a raucous mob of demonstrators from the Federation of Dominican Merchants and Industrialists. The mayor charged that the investigation was a Republican plot to sabotage the 1990 Census by systematically terrorizing

immigrants. Occhipinti's investigation was soon cancelled. He himself was investigated for various offenses, including violating the civil rights of the bodega owners.

Civil rights violations by police investigators of whatever stripe must have been a sore spot for the Bush administration (recall that Bush's Justice Department pressed successfully for the "double jeopardy" conviction of the police who thumped poor Rodney King). Perhaps Papa Bush even then had eyes on his sons' political prospects in Florida and Texas. Suffice it to say that the government did nothing to stay the indictment and conviction of Joseph Occhipinti on civil rights charges stemming from supposed search and seizure violations. A dozen men and women with criminal records swore that Occhipinti had obtained their consent to search their premises after the search, not before it (a charge that Occhipinti and the officers who accompanied him hotly denied).

Even if Occhipinti wasn't set up (as seems strongly the case), what had previously been a matter for administra-

tive reprimand became, through the alchemy of "civil rights," a felony offense. In June 1992, Occhipinti, despite an extraordinary outpouring of public support, was transported in shackles to a federal penitentiary in Oklahoma, where he was assigned a Dominican drug offender as a cell mate.

How Occhipinti withstood his ordeal (he was released by George Bush just before he left the White House) is another story. But his much-decorated career as America's premier alien crime fighter is over.

On May 11 the N.Y. Times ran a front page story entitled, "Dominican Drug Traffickers Tighten Grip on the Northeast." It reported that aliens are expanding from their base in Washington Heights to spread crack and other drugs, and the various contagions that accompany them from Maryland to Maine.

No, Occhipinti didn't die, but the nation that railroaded him is dying.

MORIARTY

## Broadening the Mission of NATO

In the numerous pro and con arguments on the expansion of NATO, two main worries emerge: (a) fear of antagonizing the Russians; (b) fear of involvement in wars unrelated to our national interest. Ignored by almost all the pundits are vital long-term ethnic and cultural considerations.

Most Western Europeans rely on NATO to ensure that the liberated countries of Central Europe remain free. As for Russia, since all other European countries have already had to relinquish their empires, it has no reason to buck the historical trend.

With the acquiescence of Roosevelt and Churchill, the West first accepted the borders in Central and Eastern Europe drawn by Stalin. What had been Eastern Europe was swallowed up into the Soviet Union, while Central Europe came under Russian control for the first time in history. Stalin masterfully extended his Communist empire deep into the heart of Europe, within a day or two's march of the English Channel.

Poland was moved westward. Genocidal policies were adopted in some areas to eliminate ethnic Balts, Germans and Poles and their replacement by Russians. The imposition of brutal Communist regimes was accompanied by the attempted

systematic destruction of the history, culture and intelligentsia in East Germany, Poland, Hungary, Romania, the Baltic states and Czechoslovakia. Asian despotism was thrust into the guts of Christian Europe.

The absorption of Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary into NATO will not threaten Russia, which will remain protected by the *cordon sanitaire* of Belarus and Ukraine. Ideally, however, after Central Europe has been fully reintegrated in Europe, Belarus, Ukraine, and Russia itself should be invited to join NATO. Russia, after all, was the first victim of communism.



De Gaulle wanted to defend the Urals

Decades ago Charles de Gaulle defined the future Europe as a federation of "fatherlands" extending from the Atlantic Ocean to the Urals. He might have added that all Europeans, including Russians, should cooperate to safeguard the vast resources of Siberia and keep them out of the hands of the Mongoloids. Russia borders China for thousands of miles. More than a billion industrious Chinese of an entirely different civilization and ethnicity might at any time launch into an imperial mode.

A more effective and more powerful NATO would be an alliance of white nations—or better yet white ethnoses. Because of their racial homogeneity, technical superiority and willingness to pool their military resources into a common defense force, white European states could easily repel any threat by the yellow race.

Since the U.S. will soon become a nonwhite nation, it will eventually be more of a minus than a plus for NATO. On the other hand, if they can be assured that NATO is an all-white organization aimed at protecting whites against the yellows, the Russkies will be more than happy to sign up.

## Nature Is Making a Comeback

Slowly, with barely a sound, the ground is giving way beneath the feet of the people that has sought for so many years to dispossess Majority America. Make no mistake. Though we can't hear the sounds of battle, "no quarter" combat has been raging for years. Our side, which some think is losing, has recently been scoring some important victories. Franz Boas, Margaret Mead and Stephen Jay Gould lie mortally wounded. It remains only to give them the professional,

lost long ago, though the enemy has managed to mask the news of its defeat with amazing effectiveness.

Having established what is inherited and cannot be changed (intelligence, obesity and skull shape, to name a few), the "naturists" are now zeroing in on human behavioral traits. This is where the rubber meets the road. When you combine evidence of inherited behavioral traits with inherited intelligence, you have the sociobiological equivalent of a

front-page story was, "Were You Born That Way?" Despite a heroic liberal-induced effort to fudge it, even *Life* found it impossible to hide the facts. The "nurture" citadel has fallen. The war is over. The subtitle was, "Personality, temperament, even life choices. New Studies show that it is mostly in your genes." Well, no kidding.

What surprised me even more was the frankness with which the article dealt with the predictable yelps of pain from

### They are on their way out



Margaret Mead



Franz Boas



Stephen Jay Gould

scientific coup de grâce. When they finally breathe their last, their bloated corpses will be unceremoniously tumbled into a mass grave, where the moldering remains of Sigmund Freud have lain for the past decade. The tombstone, if there is one, will bear a stark and simple inscription: "Here lie the liars and the frauds, slain by the truth. The weapons of mendacity and deceit availed them not."

Sound a bit florid? Maybe, but the crude and brutal nature of this battle has not been exaggerated. The battle is between those who have argued that "nature," i.e., heredity, is the most important factor in human behavior, and those who say that "nurture," that is the environment, is the key determinant. Nature, after taking a terrible beating for most of the century, is back on its feet.

Hardly a day goes by without another bulletin from the battlefield announcing the abject surrender of another "nurture" bastion. The battle over intelligence was

thermonuclear weapon. It totally demolishes the foundation for liberal/leftist thought. More importantly, it firmly establishes that the Instaurationist view is correct.


First, people are not "equal" in any way. Their differences are clearly marked by race and ethnicity. Second, no meaningful changes in behavior or intelligence can be made across a large group. No amount of social engineering will eliminate or even significantly reduce the differences in intelligence and behavior between whites and other races. Third, certain types of behavior can be predicted with rather grim regularity through an analysis of a person's DNA, which can uncover a penchant for violence, for example, or dishonesty or a lack of self-control. You can well imagine whose ox is being gored here.

A few days ago I picked up the April 1998 issue of *Life* magazine, the old 60s standby resurrected into an fairly interesting photo-and human-interest slick. The

certain interested parties. A sidebar entitled, "Genes and Violence," tried but could not duck the obvious links between genes and black crime. Another sidebar dealt with homosexuality. A third with aggression. I chuckled as an obviously Feminazi mother was forced to admit that her son was "that way" because of his genes. Not so funny was her vow to "control the aggression." No doubt he will grow up a confused, bitter, resentful, dangerous homo, thanks to Mom's domineering and bullying.

Truth is like water. You can block it off, seal it up, act like it isn't there. Soon enough, though, it will start to seep through little cracks, eroding and crumbling everything in its path. The alien attempt to foist scientific falsehood on mankind is coming apart at the seams. Gould, Boas and others of that ilk are headed for the nether regions, to be frozen in ice up to their mendacious jaws. Let them rest there in peace, forever.

N.B. FORREST



## Walt Welcomed Leni

Instauration's treatment of the Walt Disney story has been grand so far. But one salient item was missed—Disney's embrace of German film producer/director Leni Riefenstahl on her 1939 visit to Hollywood to sell her film, *Olympic*. Greeting the lady publicly caused Walt much grief in the Hollywood film colony, most notably from Budd Schulberg, who was later to go on and defame Leni in a particularly irresponsible article for the Saturday Evening Post in 1946.

IVAN HILD

## Holocaust Fixation

I would like to say a few words to non-renewing Zip 199 (May 1998). Although I assume you mean well, you seem to be as obsessed with Holocaust Revisionism as most gun-toting Zionist thugs are with Holocaustian, neo-religious, fundamentalist witch-hunting crusades against "Nazi monsters," who are said to be "guilty" of "denying the undeniable truth."

To put it another way, Zip 199, you have far too narrow a focus regarding the Holocaust. You seem to have entirely missed the point of the article, "Context and Perspective in the Holocaust Controversy," by Dr. Arthur Butz, which appeared first in the Journal of Historical Review (to which publication many, if not most, readers of Instauration probably also subscribe) and which was later added as the second of two supplements to his book, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*.

We already know that the Holocaust was physically impossible, but we also know that most newspaper-reading white sheep simply and quite simple-mindedly don't care about any of that. Instead they follow the herd and join in on the lynch-mob hysteria of "Holocaust Remembrance" and always will.

754

## To Judson Hammond

I got my monthly "high" today as Instauration arrived and I read your fine article, "The Aesthetic Prop and Beyond" (May 1998). The photo of Diana Mitford Mosley was striking, though her sister Unity was even more beautiful. You covered a lot of ground regarding the superiority of Nordic beauty. Unlike Dr. William Pierce of the National Alliance, who would "breed up" for intelligence, I would inbreed our race for sheer physical beauty first, then let the betterment of smarts take place naturally, as I really feel it would. Like many Instaurationists, I put Truth and Beauty ahead of all other things in life, with love naturally outcropping from this combination. Hopefully this would not be the love for "other-kind," to use Richard McCulloch's term, but love for one's own kind, which is anathema to today's foggy-brained universalists.

Cara (the character in your story) is quite a person. I have met her superior sort in my own walk through life, wondering like you if the young woman in question will throw it all away by linking up with a Congoid. We must hope that such ladies have more common sense and genetic sense than to submit to the musky allure and sweet talk (jive) of the ultimate race polluter.

I once shared an apartment with a fellow named Hammond. Extremely keen in verbal skills, he studied exotic languages like Urdu, Hebrew and Armenian. Unfortunately he was a fanatic race-mixing, anarchist "cook boy." When I first encountered your name in Instauration some years ago, I instantly thought of that very different Hammond who once actually declared that he

wanted to see every black dude in town "sexing every blonde woman they could find." It was the ultimate "cold-sweat nightmare," to use your phrase. The guy had the complete solution for the destruction of our race. When I told him I thought that his idea was sick, he just laughed and asked me when I would join the 1990s and its "sophistication." Despite that incident, we were pretty good friends and had many a late-night discussion in his room where he would play ragas, songs and other Indian music. When my own racial consciousness grew, we saw each other less and less, finally losing touch altogether. Incidentally his father and mother were political conservatives who were disappointed in their son.

You were spot on to say that increasingly people seem to value Truth and Beauty less as Hollywood acquaints us with all manner of ugliness, perhaps to condition us to accept the incoming non-Nordics as desirable. We are being softened into welcoming the flood of brown man. I find the Slavic/Alpine and Mediterranean types almost as desirable as the Nordic. I'd always been repulsed by the Negroid aesthetically, but learned not to feel guilty for not finding acceptable the sometimes beautiful Oriental woman. I'm back on track now. White women and good genes all the way, baby.

Over the years I've admired articles in Instauration. A few years back I recall an article lamenting that the writer had not contributed to the white gene pool by marrying his own kind and producing offspring. Well, I must admit the same. I am not a great hunk, but my sister was a real beauty, indicating familial good genes that neither she nor I have passed on. The tragedy is that too many beautiful white women opt for careers. Shakespeare's first sonnet chides a beautiful maiden for not leaving "a copy" of herself. When I am faced with the plethora of plug-ugly fat white women, waddling along with some Congoids, their sorry offspring in tow, I can readily see the dysgenic trends lowering our collective IQ and standards of beauty. Am I too harsh here? I too have no one to blame but myself for my racial negligence.

974

## Confusion Reigns

Zip 726 (April 1998) would be happy to resubscribe to Instauration if "it" were on the verge of doing something. Like what? I don't even vote. I really sympathize with him. It is a puzzler. Zip 770 thinks we're alone in the Universe. On page 20 we learn that the current world population is about 5.89 billion, most of them jerks!

785

## Homo Blacks

I often thumb through old copies of Instauration. In the May 1997 issue, Zip 950 said blacks hated queers. Well, they must hate themselves. Being an inmate in a Florida prison, I have found that at least 80-85% of Negro inmates are homosexuals. They equate being cool with having a homo lover and walk around practically hand in hand. A bit of Negro logic: "I ain't gay because I am the man and he is the woman in this relationship." The administration seems to recognize these chain-gang marriages by putting the black queers in the same cell so they can set up house. Most of the time "Daddy" works for the man and has ratted on someone to receive this and other favors.

Prison inmate



## Rat Packer Gone

The way the death of Frank Sinatra was handled by the media you'd think that Jesus Christ had died for a second time. Reams upon reams of cheap adulation for a semi-illiterate associate of repulsive Jewish and Italian mobsters. Sinatra, whose problems with his vocal chords didn't seem to affect his popularity, profited greatly from the songs that clever songsters cooked up for him. His performances symbolized the shallowness of modern American pop culture—a stew of Hollywood vulgarians and mafioso mobsters, whose iron rule over showbiz few dared to dispute. Sinatra's fourth wife was a cast-off of one of the Marx brothers, a typical case of mate-swapping in the entertainment business. For some reason the press made much of Sinatra's blue eyes, an uncommon trait of south Italians. If his handlers were trying to pass him off as a Nordic, it didn't compute.

## Christians Wanted

DreamWorks, the kosher-to-the-core movie company, is feverishly courting a Christian audience for its upcoming animated movie, *Prince of Egypt*, which has something to do with Moses. The Jewish film hierarchs are even hoping to get Christian leaders to hype the film—another classic instance of chutzpah. My local reviewer opined, "This is a marked change in attitude for the motion picture business, which in the past has treated organized religion with suspicion." Why go after a Christian audience? Put it down to MONEY, plain and simple. Would anyone care to speculate about what these same Jews would be saying if Christian-Works Pictures had just put out a new film called *Jesus, Prince of Peace*?

782

## Fanciful Writings

Rolling Stone, George and Harper's magazines have run articles by a 25-year-old Chosenite, Stephen Glass. After they had appeared in print and after some inexcusably delayed scrutiny, many of his writings were adjudged to have been made out of whole cloth.

## Copycat Author

Joseph Heller has been coasting along on the reputation of his 1961 bestseller, *Catch 22*, for nearly four decades. Now he is accused of joining the ranks of plagiarists like Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. and

Steven Spielberg. Louis Falstein, a writer with the same background as Heller (Jewish, Brooklynite, similar wartime experiences), wrote a novel in 1950, *Face of a Hero*, that contains major resemblances to Heller's opus. Needless to say this is not the first time Jewish literary lights have been caught stealing from each other.

## Super Goy

Judeophilia has reached empyrean heights in the person of Thomas Cahill, author of *The Gifts of the Jews*. Here is what he said in a hopelessly muddled syntax as he peregrinated around Florida peddling his book in various Jewish clubs and meeting places.

We can hardly get up in the morning or cross the street without being Jewish. We dream Jewish dreams and hope Jewish hopes. Most of our best words, in fact—new, adventure, surprise; unique, individual, person, vocation; time, history, future; freedom, program, spirit; faith, hope, just—are the gifts of the Jews.

"We" is a strange pronoun for an Irishman discussing Jews. It might be noted that in his book Cahill doesn't include such Jewish "gifts" as the rape of Palestine, the building of the atom bomb, the billion-dollar scams of Jewish Wall Streeters, Jewish mobsters, the cinematic excrement of Hollywood and, at a much earlier date, the massacre of the Egyptian first-born.

## Milken Redux

Sitting on prime Los Angeles real estate close to the Getty Museum, the Michael Milken High School, a Hebrew institution that concentrates on Jewish studies, is open for business. Much of the \$50 million cost was coughed up by its patron. For this and other reasons Milken is getting a good press these days, despite having been the biggest financial scammer in U.S. history. Larry King and his sixth or seventh wife, Shawn, were given a private unveiling of the school. King, a one-time scammer himself, pronounced it "a magnificent structure."

## Semitic Raconteur

Having cashed in with his book, *Hitler's Willing Executioners*, a racist tract that insinuated all Germans were anti-Semites, Daniel Goldhagen is now casting his Semitic eyes around for other examples of

racial persecution of near-Holocaust dimensions. When two other Jews wrote a book repudiating Goldhagen's thesis, the ADL tried desperately but unsuccessfully to get the publisher, Henry Holt, to scrap it.

## Judaization

If you should fret about the overwhelming Jewish presence at Harvard, you would be ostracized as an anti-Semite, unless you yourself are a Jew. Harken to these words from Rabbi Abram Goodman. In a letter to Harvard Magazine, he commented: "Now I witness a Harvard that has been thoroughly cleansed and Judaized." Consider the source and you will understand why there was minimal follow-up to this letter.

Another brazen example of Jewish arrogance appeared in New York magazine (April 27, 1998):

Not only do Jews now think and act like Americans; Americans now think and act like Jews. There's been a slow Judaizing of America in which it's becoming increasingly difficult to see where one begins and the other ends.



Think of Polyclitus, think of Michelangelo, think of Rodin, then shift your eyes to the Yoruban wood carving shown above. The N.Y. Times calls it art, adding this boast: "Not long ago, African art was treated as anthropology. Now it has come into its own as exquisitely personal work by individuals of genius." This verbal aesthetic mishmash had to be written by an idiot, a black, a homo or a Jew. The rest of us have not yet fallen quite so low.



## Turner Chickened Out

An Indian-led coalition has accused Ted Turner of racism for continuing to call his baseball team, the Atlanta Braves. The redskins made such a stink that when the Majority Renegade of 1997 was invited to Macalester College (MN) to receive an honorary degree, he decided it was the better part of valor not to receive the honor in person.

## The Lawless Mrs. Barrys

Washington Mayor Marion Barry married twice. His first wife, Mary Treadwell, was convicted of two felonies. His second and present spouse, Cora Masters, was caught double-billing the city for expenses incurred when she was head of the D.C. Boxing Commission. Her felony conviction did not prevent her from continuing to teach political science at the University of Delaware.

## Sacrificial Lamb

The House of Representatives killed the investigation of the hotly disputed and extremely close 1996 election defeat of longtime California Congressman Robert Dornan. Some 748 ballots for his Latino rival, Loretta Sanchez, had been classified as illegal and another 196 considered dubious. The Republican high command decided that it was better to sacrifice Dornan than to alienate Hispanics who, the Stupid Party seems to forget, vote mostly for Democratic candidates.

## Kosher Hotline

Mislabeling and other kosher frauds are on the rise in New York. Over 100 instances a year are reported to the Orthodox Union kosher monitoring authorities who have resorted to the courts to resolve some of these cases. Rabbi Avraham Jurov, however, does not want to raise legal questions about deceptive advertising too strongly for fear that the state's kosher law would be thrown out if challenged in court. In a Maryland case Jews lost the right to decide what is and what is not kosher. They don't want that to happen again.

## Holocaust Hokum

Manfred Kohn, another of countless Holocaust survivors, built a Holocaust Memorial in the Jewish section of the Hillcrest Memorial Gardens in Leesburg (FL). The area is open to the dearly departed only if they are Jewish by birth or conver-

sion. Kohn is hoping that future travelers will see the monument and ask what it means. If Manny wanted the monument to be a chatty conversation piece, he should have arranged to have it positioned it at a busy highway intersection or in the local mall.

347

## The Unroyal Couple

What does Clinton really think about himself? If he had any self-respect, he would pack up, quit the White House and go to work for the Ford Foundation, a job for which he is ideologically suited. What he doesn't understand is that his very presence in the highest office in the land not only lowers its prestige, but that of the country and the citizenry as well.

Instead of the White House being the power center of the modern world, as well as a model of probity, Clinton has turned it into a quasi-bordello, some of whose transient females are the scrapings of late 20th-century womanhood.

What about Hillary? Has she made a pact with the Devil, to hear no, see no and speak no evil? She must, however, have a soul. She must bruise. She may be a raging feminist. She may be a lesbian. She may have some weird understanding with her husband. But she has to have feelings—feelings further exacerbated every day by some new revelation about her husband's addiction to fellatio, some startling new exclusive about the configuration of her husband's private parts. Life for Hillary, in spite of all the pomp and circumstance, must be a living hell. Yet she hangs on.

We can only imagine what she says—what she has to say—in her mother-daughter talks with Chelsea. Clinton, the target of a thousand dirty jokes, is not only killing the presidency; he is killing the American brand of democracy. What kind of a political system allows its highest office to be filled by a slob?

## The Ultimate Triage

There was a moment when Hiroshima and Nagasaki were blasted that the U.S. could have kept a fairly tight monopoly on the Bomb by threats and devastating cautionary attacks on any nation that dared to take even the first step toward the development of any nuclear device. Chances are, of course, that sooner or later one or more nations would have penetrated the Bomb's secret. The American

Jews in charge of constructing it were already passing many of its more important details along to the Soviet Union and later to the new state of Israel. At any rate even a short-term U.S. monopoly would have reduced the number of nuclear-tipped missiles scattered around the earth today.

Notwithstanding the doomsayers, all the nukes in the world exploding at once would not end civilization as we know it. There would always be some small, bypassed areas that would remain untouched, some small cities with a few well-stocked libraries and science laboratories that would supply the data needed to keep civilization alive and kicking.

It is even possible that what remains after the Big Bangs might be an improvement over the past. The destruction of the world's teeming, filthy, overcrowded cities might raise the collective IQ of the demographic remnants five or ten points. The crime rate would be dramatically reduced. Survivors might be inspired to pay more attention to the values of life, not the pleasures.

These comments might also apply to chemical and biological warfare. But since the world is already overpopulated and becoming more so every day, the extinction of as many as 5 billion of the 5.8 world population might actually be doing the planet a favor. Even if a lethal chemical agent or deadly bug exterminated 99% of the earth's inhabitants, there would still be 58 million people left. Some of the remnants would be in Africa. No improvement there. But some survivors would be in the more advanced parts of the world, where the occupants could form a collection of ethnoses that would provide suitable springboards for new leaps in human evolution. Even if the entire human race became extinct there is still hope. The earth was once an effective nursery for moving apes up to *Homo sapiens*. As Nietzsche said, what happened once can happen again, given enough time.

## Clinton Finally in Trouble?

Many Jews have been willing to back Clinton all the way, despite his perennial skirt-chasing, despite his lying and stonewalling, provided he keeps filling the top slots of government with the Chosen. As is now becoming excruciatingly evident, some of Clinton's traditional support is weakening. He used to be so committed to supporting Israel that his knees bulged with permanent calluses. Recently, however, he has been acting in a way that is causing Jewish blood pressure to rise



sharply. He actually told the Israelis to shape up and follow through on what they signed in the Oslo agreements. What's worse, he issued waivers that permitted France and Russia to make billion-dollar deals with Iran without running afoul of U.S. sanctions. Even worse, he was the first president to speak before an American-Arab group. On top of all this, Hillary came out in favor of a Palestinian state. As a result, some of the most loyal Jewish Clintonites are beginning to scream. Maybe, just maybe, they are whispering, it might be a good idea to impeach Clinton and replace him with Gore, who is practically a Jewish agent and has a nice Jewish son-in-law.

Already the media is bearing down on Loral, an American company, that has been selling China equipment to improve the performance of its satellites. Loral just happens to be controlled by Bernard Schwartz, who just happens to have been the single largest contributor (\$500,000) to Clinton's 1996 reelection campaign.

Normally the press would downplay this routine sellout of American technology for political gain. If it does go after Clinton hot and heavy for his planned trip to China, it would be a further demonstration of Jewry's changing mood.

If the Jews really want to get rid of Clinton, all they have to do is get Monica Lewinsky to sing. A half-hour TV interview with this chubby blabbermouth might well bring down the presidency.

If perchance Hillary should decide to "tell all" about the scatological barnyard activities of her white-trash husband and say what she really thinks of him, the result would be even more devastating to the presidency.

### Adjectives for Jews

One Rich Cohen has written a book, *Tough Jews: Fathers, Sons, and Gangster Dreams*, intended, he insists, to correct the public's mistaken image that "meek Jews [are] physically unthreatening office creatures." By using examples of Jewish thuggery, he seeks to demonstrate that the skill of Jewish hitmen is comparable to that of Israeli commandos—apparently the highest possible praise. I don't know where Cohen has been all these years, but most Americans have rarely seen a meek Jew. The most common adjectives for the Chosen in this country are obnoxious, pushy, greedy, money-hungry, ego- and ethnocentric.

In regard to their economic propensities, they advocate socialism for non-Jews, but capitalism for themselves.

All things considered Americans familiar with the role of Jewish criminals in the former Soviet Union and latterly as Mafia figures in Russia and the U.S. do not need any instruction from Cohen as to how Jews should be described.

200

### Interesting Stats

A recent N.Y. Times poll found that 30% of Americans had an unfavorable view of Israel. Some 70% said the Zionist state had not done enough to prove its interest in peace; 19% asserted Jews had too much influence on U.S. foreign policy; 40% believed the U.S. was giving too much aid to the Israelis; 38% favored a Palestinian state. It is wise to treat any poll concerning Jews or Israel with caution. Many Americans are so fearful of Jewish power that they are reluctant even to say *sotto voce* anything negative about the Chosen.

### Unforgetfulness

Thirty-three years ago, while attending Princeton, Seattle attorney Marvin Gray was elected president of a student club opposed to race mixing. The exhumation of this stale news inspired the White House to kill Gray's nomination to a U.S. District Judgeship. Our enemies have elephantine memories.

### Latter-Day Sanhedrin

We've all heard about the Bilderbergers and the Trilateral Commission, but only recently are we learning about a much more cloutful clique, the Mega Group. The membership consists of America's 20 richest Jewish multimillionaires and billionaires, each of whom contributes \$30,000 a year towards the operating expenses needed to carry on the Mega Group's business, which is to advance the cause of Jews everywhere. Charles Bronfman, co-chair of Seagram, artfully comments: "We don't want to be seen as a Sanhedrin. We want to make it cool to be Jewish." The group convenes twice a year. Each meeting lasts two days.

### Better Off Red

While books even slightly tinged with revisionism are banned by mainstream American publishers, a new glossy, highly hyped edition of *The Communist Mani-*


*fest*o has hit the bookstores in New York and London. The illustrations are by two Russian-Jewish refugees. If you go in for totalitarianism, it is safer to wear a Red shirt than a Brown one. Karl Marx is probably responsible for millions of more deaths than Hitler, yet Der Führer is still deemed much more villainous. Could Marx's ethnicity have anything to do with his kid-glove treatment?

### Disapproved Monopolies

A Jewish judge broke up AT&T. Jews were among the chief motivators in the long and exasperating antitrust action against IBM. It's no surprise that the man in charge of the war against Microsoft is Joel Klein, the Justice Dept.'s chief trust-buster. Jews seem to get a special charge going after extremely successful Majority companies. They don't seem as interested in punishing certified Jewish monopolies, like the Seagram booze empire and the Oppenheimer diamond cartel.

### Wrong Word

Lenoria Walker, the \$74,000-a-year head of Houston's \$20-million-a-year affirmative action program, was suspended for three days by Mayor Lee Brown for calling a city council member, Joe Roach, a midget. If Ms. Walker had called Roach "a physically challenged short person," no reprimand would have been forthcoming. As it was, the black lady was so upset and discombobulated by all the publicity she up and resigned.



A new 32¢ U.S. stamp celebrates Cinco de Mayo, a Mexican holiday commemorating the 1862 defeat of Napoleon III's troops who were fighting to set up a French empire in Mexico. The stamp was issued jointly by the U.S. and Mexican postal services. Question? Why should the U.S. have a stamp that memorializes a war between two foreign nations?

**O.J. Simpson**'s genes are getting a workout from his 29-year-old daughter, **Arnelle**. One alcoholic night in L.A. she crashed her Saab convertible into a sign, then into a concrete bus bench, then into a flower garden, finally into a two-story apartment building. She was arrested for DWI. Arnelle's mother, a full-fledged Negress, was Simpson's first wife. Understandably, Simpson's mother never had any warm feelings for the breast-implanted white bimbo who stole her son's affections.

#

What precipitated **O.J. Simpson**'s murder rampage? Was it a fit of insane jealousy brought on when he heard that Nicole had revived a romance with an old flame, **Marcus Allen**, a black ex-football star like the Juice? That's what Daniel Petrocelli (see Talking Numbers), the lawyer who won the wrongful death civil trial of O.J., thinks. If this should be true, the late Nicole Simpson seems to have had a penchant for melanin. Petrocelli also stated that Simpson probably had some assistance in the bloody business.

#

A special agent in the FBI's south Florida office, was arrested and charged with absconding with government funds. **Octavio Ramos** was the second agent in the office to be arrested in two years. The first, **Jerome Sullivan**, pleaded guilty to embezzling \$400,000 from government and state funds. Some 450 FBI agents are stationed in south Florida.

#

**Aaron Needle**, one of the two Jews accused of murdering and dismembering a young Hispanic in Maryland, hanged himself in his cell with a bed sheet a few days before his trial was scheduled. **Samuel Sheinbein**, Needle's partner in homicide, continues to hole up in Israel. Like hundreds if not thousands of Jewish criminals worldwide, he is using Israel as a safe house. Hispanic groups, murmuring racism, are pressing hard for

Sheinbein's extradition. So far they haven't made much progress.

#

**Three blacks**, running what they call an anti-crime group in Cleveland, have been arrested for stealing \$617,597 sent to them mistakenly by a city clerk. Part of their ill-gotten gains went to buy a Cadillac and a Mercedes. The trio were convicted of grand theft. Some \$300,000 is still unaccounted for.

#

**James Earl Ray** passed away in April. It's hard to believe that he pulled off the assassination of **Rev. King** all by himself. First he confessed, then he denied the confession. With the whole world searching for him, the petty, two-bit crook somehow made it all the way to England before he was apprehended.

#

A few days after a **black** had a racial altercation with the white owner of a sporting goods store in Harlem, it was burned to the ground. The store was only a block from Freddy's Fashion Mart, which had been reduced to ashes in 1995 following the white owner's attempt to evict a raucous record emporium next door. Eight people died in the flames and in the accompanying gunfire.

#

**Three blacks youths** decided they were going to have some fun in Haines City (FL). So four days after a preliminary killing they loaded up their guns and took off. First they shot a young woman who tried to prevent them from carjacking her auto. Later they burst into a motel room where a Georgia family was celebrating a reunion. The man who opened the door was shot along with four other celebrants. The final toll was two killed and eight wounded, one a 10-year-old girl with a bullet half an inch above her heart. The local media spent more time pretending there was nothing racial about the crime spree than they did about reporting it. The anti-racist argument was difficult to sus-

tain since all the victimizers were black and all the victims were white.

#

It was a papal first. Pope John Paul II named **Rabbi Mordecai Waxman** of Great Neck (NY) a Knight Commander of St. Gregory the Great. The prestigious religious order, until the naming of Rabbi Waxman, had never had a non-Catholic member.

#

**Dov Hikind**, **Paul Chernow** and **Rabbi Elimelech Naiman** have been charged with stealing \$600,000 intended for charities, schools and social service agencies. Chernow has already confessed. Naiman and Hikind's trial is at the top of the docket. Hikind, a dyed-in-the-wool Jewish Democrat like his two accomplices, is one of the biggest power brokers in Zoo City.

#

It looked like a foolproof swindle. **Hossein Hashemi** slipped and fell in an Atlanta City casino, then promptly sued for \$10 million. Instead of collecting, Hashemi was sent to jail for four years by a percipient judge. What Hashemi did was take a handful of sand from an ashtray, throw it on the floor and then carefully fall down as he walked over it. His routine was caught in its entirety by a casino security camera.

#

Back in 1994 **Michael Fay** won international renown when he was given four hefty licks from a rattan cane on his bare buttocks for vandalizing cars in Singapore. In April, Michael, now a college student in Florida, was charged with possession of 20 grams of marijuana and various drug paraphernalia. This time, unfortunately, the rod was spared.

#

When the Pentagon leaked the personnel file of Linda Tripp, the reaction to this blatant breach of privacy was muted. When Lt. Patrick Burns released his devastating report on the flying prowess of the late Lt. **Kara Hultgreen**, who crashed her F-14 while trying to land on a carrier, Secretary of the Navy **John Dalton** leapt into the fray and killed Burns's upcoming promotion.

Computer companies are turning away 25 to 50 applicants for every person they hire. Yet these same companies are supporting lifting the annual intake of temporary foreign hackers and other highly skilled workers from 65,000 to 95,000. Foreign technicians and scientists are preferred because they don't demand astronomical salaries.

#

2 million people already in the U.S. are waiting to become citizens. As of November 1997, 438,557 such neophytes were hunkered down in Los Angeles.

#

Bob Walters, a history teacher in a Jewish private school in North Huntington (PA), is gathering pop-top tabs to memorialize the Holocaust dead. So far he's collected 1 million. He should stop there if he wants his collection to reflect reality.

#

The Clinton-Gore presidential campaign spent close to \$100 million on TV plugs in the 1995-96 election. The consultants who placed these ads double-dipped by taking commissions on the advertising along with consulting fees. Jews like foot fetishist Dick Morris made \$500k in 1995; a megabuck in 1996.

#

62% of registered Hispanic voters prefer the Democratic Party. 41% feel ignored by the G.O.P.

#

3.2 million interfaith marriages in the U.S. have at least 1 Jew. The divorce rate of these couples is an amazing 75%. One of the two children of journalist Steve Roberts (Jewish) and talking head Cokie Roberts (Catholic) ménage married a Protestant; the other a Catholic. Hebrew prayers were said at each of the two weddings.

#

The world Jewish population was 13,056,000 in 1995; 15,750,000 in 1940. If these figures, supplied by the American Jewish Committee, are at

all accurate, Jews have regained roughly half of their Holocaust losses. On the other hand, the figures could be used to prove that the number of Holocaust victims was wildly exaggerated.

#

Only in Russia, Britain, France, the United States and Canada do Jews comprise 2% or more of the host populations.

#

In the last 25 years the white population of Los Angeles shrank from 80% to 37%. Since Jews and dark Mediterranean types are classified as whites, Majority members account for considerably less than 37%.

#

Fairfax County (VA) is the home base of youngsters from 182 different countries who speak 100 different languages.

#

For every U.S.-born student who moved to a private school in 1980-90, about 4 immigrant kids enrolled in public schools.

#

In 1789, when the Constitution became the law of the land, a member of Congress represented 30,000 voters. Today it's 570,000.

#

Since 1991, 25 U.S. Postal Service employees have been killed while at work. One explanation is that blacks are overrepresented in the ranks of the 800,000 "mail persons."

#

Within the next 27 years, non-whites will outnumber whites in Texas and California. They already comprise the majority in Hawaii and New Mexico.

#

Italy, once famous for its large and exuberant families, has the world's lowest fertility rate: 1.2 bambinos per woman.

#

In Pennsylvania, a state which supposedly contains 21 hate groups, 50.4% of victims of hate crimes were

white; 42.6% were black. The racial differential demonstrates that more whites than blacks are taking the brunt of racist attacks.

#

12.7% of U.S. men have 21 or more heterosexual partners in their sexual lifetime, compared to 7.8% of British men.

#

The Southern Poverty Law Center, Morris Dees's anti-racist racket, has discovered 15 hate groups in New York, Connecticut and New Jersey—474 nationwide. No statement as to the size of the membership of such groups. If the numbers were revealed, Dees's scare tactics would be downright comical.

#

A Roper Poll indicated that 54% of Americans want immigration to be cut to not more than 100,000 a year. Nevertheless legal immigrants continue to exceed more than 1 million a year.

#

In 1995, nearly 11% of Mexican-American teenagers gave birth, compared to 10% of black teens and 4% of non-Hispanic white teenagers.

#

In the forthcoming November elections 12 Arab Americans are running for Congress in 10 states; 6 of them are incumbents (4 Democrats, 2 Republicans). In the wannabe ranks are 3 Demos and 3 members of the Stupid Party. Since Arabs have no voice in U.S. Middle East policy, their congressmen have to sit back and let Jews determine the fate of their Palestinian brothers.

#

In 1997 an American with an average IQ of 100 earned \$18,000 less than one with a 120 IQ and earned \$9,000 more than a dolt with an IQ of 80.

#

Harvey Golub, Jewish CEO of American Express, stashed away \$33.2 million last year, while his company was laying off 3,700 workers. Golub's pay equals the total average annual pay of 1,500 American employees.



## Waspishly Yours

Moderator of a recent C-Span minyan was Frank Brookhiser, senior editor of the National Review, whose preeminent pledge is to William F. Buckley, prince of pontificators and atavistic conservatism. Buckley's style is somnolent insolence. Listening to him Bill and coo, it's hard to tell if the embalming fluid is ingressing or egressing.

The second character is pug-nosed, has a bulldog lower jaw and is decked out in a dykish (boy toy) red haircut and with a body to match. She is Deborah Lipstadt, professor of Holocaust studies at Emory University. Are those Georgians snakebit or just entranced by tarantulas? Concussed by falling peaches on Peachtree Street or just guilt-tripping out on peach brandy? Feminist studies? Black studies? Now Holocaust studies?

Third, David Gelernter, a Jewish professor of computer science at Yale and victim of the Unabomber. Untypically, he was quiet and quite happy his book was published, which he interpreted as proof of the good taste and freedom of the press.

Fourth, David Horowitz hawking his book that deals with his doublecrossing conversion from radical liberalism (i.e., communism) to radical conservatism. Horowitz, whose parents were lifelong Commies, began his political life as a 60s radical, along with Tom Hayden and other Berkeleyite bashers of the white bourgeoisie. Surprise, surprise! Horowitz ripened into a radical rightist who targeted his Marxist-trained howitzers on the Black Panthers. A Jew who is—goatee and all—a live ringer for Leon Trotsky, Horowitz now heaps the same scruffy dedication on conservatism that he once lavished on liberalism.

Finally, Andrea Dworkin, a rabid feminist but independently repulsive in her own right. If you have ever seen her in action, you know what I mean. The motives for her dedication to radical feminism become kristallnacht clear as you harken to her horrific spiel. Her dress of choice is a tent-sized pair of bib overalls. Her hair could serve as a fright wig. She claims to have been "assaulted" by two doctors, who allegedly perpetrated the penetration after she had been arrested in a 1965 "civil rights" protest and thrown in a house of detention. The doctors must have been veterinarians trained in a Manhattan hog-wallow. Their olfactories must have been removed during the rhinoplasty.

Appropriately enough, this mostly kosher choir, this minyan of minions, was convened to chew the fat about censorship and the media. Can you imagine? These Jews have all been published. They've all been interviewed ad nauseam. Yet they all kvetched about censorship.

It reminded me of a gathering of Jews assembled by sellout Charlie Rose to discuss Palestine, to wit: our stealth Jewish secretary of state, madcap Madeleine Albright; Australian Jew Martin Indyk (formerly "our" ambassador to Israel, formerly associate director of AIPAC and currently under secretary for the Middle East); Chosenite Dennis Ross (our "negotiator" in the Middle East); orthodoxy bearded Frank Gaffney, guru Israeli gaff-meister; and yahoo Bibi Netanyahu. Voilà, snake-eyed Charlie Rose's idea of an objective panel convened to "discuss" the Middle East.

Lipstadt was pleasantly astonished at how well her denial of Holocaust deniers had sold and was selling. But if Lipstadt was surprised, wasn't she the only one? Isn't the real point that a low-brow professor could find an American publisher, while David Irving, a distinguished historian and acknowledged authority on Nazi Germany, could not?

I despise the current academic crazes for deconstructionism and historicism. Briefly, deconstructionists want to separate language from reality, from "things." Thus language becomes strictly "self-referential" and relates only to itself, not to a reality "out there." Thus language becomes a snake swallowing its own tail and truth becomes a chimera, a kind of cabala accessible only to initiates—and even they can never really know anything. (A French Jew, Jacques Derrida, is the leading light of this dark attack on the sanity of language.)

Lipstadt has damned deconstructionism with faint praise. She asserts that enthusiasm for it waned when it was discovered that Paul de Man—chief guru of the movement at Yale—had been a Belgian Nazi during WW II. Have you noticed how "objective" Jews and liberals can be when it comes to truth in philosophy? Derrida's most famous American exponent was a Nazi in his salad days! Suddenly language has meaning and responsibility.

Historicism claims that history is a fiction, that the powers that be decide what's true. Feminists just love the freaky Frenchman, Michel Foucault, who, before he died of AIDS in 1984, did more than anyone to spread the disease of historicism. Once again, there is no "objective" version of history, so women were never given their due because the power structure was always masculine. (Forget Cleopatra, Elizabeth I, Marie Antoinette, Josephine and Madame Pompadour, Catharine the Great, Indira Gandhi, Margaret Thatcher and, greatest of them all, that all-American gold-digger Golda Meir, who deployed tactical nuclear weapons against Egypt in 1973). Actually Foucault may have a point. Wasn't it Winston Churchill who said, "History will be kind to the Allies; I plan to write it?"

Lipstadt's reaction to Jewish intellectual Hannah Arendt is negative. Why? Because Arendt had an affair with Martin Heidegger, arguably the greatest philosopher of the 20th century. Philosophy is fine; any fool can philosophize, even Derrida. Heidegger was a momentary Nazi and Arendt's "relationship" with the great one apparently extended well beyond her student years at the German university where Heidegger reigned supreme. Perversely, I'm puzzled by Heidegger's interest in her. Certainly she was bright. Certainly an older man might have been flattered by the attentions of a younger woman. But what good is philosophy if it doesn't teach wisdom? Could comedian W.C. Fields have been wiser than Heidegger? Didn't Fields quip that a woman is just a woman but a cigar is a good smoke? According to Lipstadt, at the same time Arendt was accusing Jews of collaborating with Nazis, she was also perpetrating a "revisionist" version of Heidegger's life.

How can language tell the truth about Heidegger and Arendt, but not tell us what is real? How can Holocaust survivors recall gas chambers from 50 years ago, but Netanyahu not remember what Jews signed at Oslo two years ago? How can Jews remember pogroms in Poland and Russia nearly 200 years ago? Why can't they remember that they lost Jerusalem over 2,000 years ago, while still pretending it's theirs? The Brits had it, the Turks had it, the Arabs had it, the Romans had it, the Greeks had it, the Egyptians had it, the Persians had it, the Syrians had it, the Assyrians had it, the Hittites had it, and the Canaanites had it. To top it all off, Jerusalem itself was founded by Jebusites!

What a funny thing is language! What a funny thing is memory! Maybe history is just a perception which power can transmogrify



into truth. What flaw in Golda Meir's memory could make her declare that Palestine was a land without a people for a people without a land?

What really irks Jews about Arendt is that she cited Adolf Eichmann as a prime example of the "banality" of evil. How could anybody believe that this mediocre little man, this marvel of mediocrity, had anything to do with something like Auschwitz? So what if he looked "banal"? Can't a bookkeeper be evil? Who cooked the books on how many were "gassed" in those camps? What banal-looking monster invented the lie about lampshades made of human skin? Was anybody more grandmotherly than Golda, who hated those nasty Arabs because they forced innocent Jews to kill them? How come that killers like near-midget Shamir, blubbergut Sharon and Menaphlegm Begin are never banal?

If history is what the power structure says, who wrote the history of the Holocaust if not Jews like Deborah Lipstadt herself? If the Nazis had won, and they had taken charge of the history of WWII, would the Holocaust have disappeared? What happens to objectivity, if one rejects Arendt, de Man and Heidegger because of personal revulsion, not for their ideas? Certainly Ben-Gurion, Meir, Shamir, Peres, Begin and Sharon are (or were) all beautiful people, so who could disbelieve them? If Lipstadt can dismiss Heidegger because he nattered about Nazis, how come unrepentant Zionists like the two Yitsucks, Shamir and Rabin, and pirate-eyepatched Moshe Dayan, have never been called to bell, book and candle? Why are we dragging small-fry Serbians into the dock for genocidal murder, while Chosenites like Sharon and Netanyahu still prowl around free?

Professor of Holocaust studies and defender of academic freedom and the first amendment, Deborah Lipstadt declared she would never debate a Holocaust denier. In a TV discussion of media censorship, she declared that deniers had a right to speak their swill, but their books should never be published or advertised. Moreover deniers should be banned from TV panels composed of published Jews discussing censorship. But isn't that, effectively, censorship?

Is there a conflict of interest here? If a professor of Holocaust studies refuses to debate the deniers, isn't she just preaching to the choir? What's she afraid of? If the deniers are lying, why not expose them for the anti-Semitic, Neanderthal numskulls they are by giving their ideas the maximum exposure possible?

Who decides what is erroneous? The Sanhedrin which Pilated Jesus to death? Pilate who executed an innocent man for the sake of peace in the simmering Semitic polity? Was Socrates right in committing suicide because he was condemned to death legally? Why did we hang the legal government of Germany at Nuremberg? The Israelis refuse, for example, to surrender the territory they agreed to relinquish at Oslo, because they refuse to commit "suicide."

Who is more intolerant of diversity than an Orthodox Jew? Can a Christian proselytize in Israel? Does error have the right to exist in America's Asian beachhead? Since the messiah has not yet arrived, does Israel have the right to exist?

Horowitz-Trotsky was horrified because the liberal media were not as horrified as he was by the horrors of the Black Panthers he exposed. But was Horowitz-Trotsky himself so horrified,

so hot to trot against the liberal media, when he was a media darling in the 60s?

Andrea Dworkin resented being forced to flee to England to escape the American media, the Sceptred Isle apparently being more congenial to her firebrand form of feminism. The English abhor rape. Otherwise they might have extended their hospitality to the two medical freaks who allegedly extended their testicular hospitality to Andrea Dworkin. Anyway our paperweight reporters couldn't read her any more than they could hold her down. So she hied her eiderdown hide off to England to Park her Hyde.

Brookhiser endorsed Führeress Lipstadt's refusal to engage deniers in verbal combat. He said he had once refused an offer to debate a Communist. Since Reds always lie, he opined, why bother to debate? Why bother to expose their lies to public view? But what happened to Pope John XXIII's ecumenism? And didn't the present Pope drop in on Communist Poland and Communist Cuba? Does Brookhiser claim to be more Catholic than the Pope?

Is that why Brookhiser and his boss, languid William Buckley, have never debated Zionism? Because Zionists always lie? Who was the guy who announced that all it takes for evil to succeed is for good men to do nothing?

If we only debate those who never lie, aren't we condemned to an endless monologue? Has Brookhiser never lied? If I only debated those who never lied, I concede from the outset that my putative opponent is simon pure. If he's so honest, what's there to debate? If I refuse to debate liars, I'll be forever talking to myself.

George Washington never told a lie. Is that why he never jostled verbally with King George? Since the Continental Congress wrangled for years to wring out a constitution, none of its members ever told a lie. Lenin, Stalin and the rest of that ignoble crowd must have been purity itself since they debated endlessly. Before they shot the losers.

I am relieved to hear that debaters Brookhiser and Lipstadt refuse to debate anyone who has ever told a lie. What a relief it must be for Lipstadt to know that Hitler's whole life was one endless, interminable debate. And before Hitler came to power, Germany must have been packed with the purest politicians of all time, for Hitler debated them all. Stalin and Hitler did sign a temporary pact but never debated, except before the shattered walls of Stalingrad. If Lipstadt and Brookhiser refuse to debate liars, what's the point of even discussing the perils of censorship? For we all know that the media never lie, so why would it ever censor anybody or need to be censored itself? (Are you listening, Socrates?)

Chosenite Gelernter was smarter than the others on the panel. He barely said a word. So he didn't have to address the question of why this Jewish-dominated clique was picked to comment on censorship.

I, however, am anxious to debate, because that would prove that I am neither a Holocaust denier nor a Communist. It would also prove that I never lie, that I am pure, that I am worthy of jousting with pristine Jews. If one only debated those who never lied, how could one ever confront Zionism?

V.S. STINGER

To pretend that the recent murders of Majority schoolchildren by Majority schoolchildren is due to social malaise is pure drivel. Majority kids may have pulled the triggers in these bloody events, but they were not acting on their own. They were acting as they had been told to act on the tube, in the movies, even in video games.

The violence has been inspired by people who have little in common with Majority members, young or old. The "people" referred to are Jews, the Hollywood culture grinders, who tell the producers, directors, writers and actors what to do, how to look, what to say, how to think. Let's face it, contemporary American entertainment is not really American entertainment, but Jewish entertainment. Although barely more than 2% of the population, Jews dominate every aspect of show business from top to bottom, right down to the profession of the mythical "steamer," the hireling who adds a zero to every figure put out by the movie moguls and TV bosses.

Jews care about the social order, but they care more about their Jewishness, which makes it possible for them to close their eyes and ears to the horrors they foist on the public. Their conscience is even less troubled when they bank the huge profits that flow from this cinematic and electronic gold mine.

From what they see, juveniles are led to believe that modern life has been reduced to a succession of condoms and bullets, that flashes of knives and the rat-a-tat-tat of automatic rifle fire are the normal pattern of human existence. Scenes of utter brutality on the flickering screen are being impressed on the minds of youngsters at their most impressionistic age. The sweetest sounds to their ears are the pop-pop of explosive cartridges crashing into skulls, the sweetest words the repetitive banalities and obscenities spoken in the most highly praised film fare.

It is only fair to say that if Jews were removed from the entertainment business, Majority schoolboys would not be so enthused about going on murderous rampages against fellow students, teachers and even their own parents. They would not have seen the images that put these thoughts in their minds.

The two greatest vulgarians in the current stage of entertainment are Jerry Springer and Howard Stern, the former 100% Jewish, the latter 50% Jewish genetically, but 200% culturally. Springer, whose disgusting on-camera antics has earned him a larger daytime audience than Oprah, orchestrates fights between the lowest-brow humanoids he can herd before the camera. It's interesting to trace the genealogy of the Springer show. It is owned by Studios USA, which in turn is controlled by Barry Diller, one of those millionaire Jews who can't keep his financial fingers from poking into every aspect of the entertainment industry. His man, Springer, set a new low in smut in mid-May when he put on a show on bestiality. He entitled one episode, "I Married a Horse," a marriage that supposedly lasted for five years between a man and an equine. He also had a woman recount her romance with a dog. Finally came a man who was more sexually attracted to canines than to people.

Howard Stern's scabrous contribution to late 20th-century civilization is broadcast over hundreds of radio stations, and more recently on Canadian radio, which claims



it sticks to higher standards than its American counterparts. Stern launched his program by calling French Canadians "scumbags." To twist the knife even further, he said Canadians in general had produced little more than "hockey players, whores and William Shatner." So far Stern is hanging on to his Canadian broadcasts, perhaps because the national chairman of the Canadian Broadcast Standards Council is Ron Cohen.

It should not be forgotten that the penumbra of U.S. television reaches across the Atlantic. An interviewer for BBC, Chicago-born Ruby Wax, scored a recent coup when she featured O.J. Simpson pretending to stab her with a banana. Daniel Petrocelli, the lawyer who won the wrongful death civil case for the Goldman family, described this emetic display as the Juice's "creeping confession."

Another half-Jewish showman is Geraldo Rivera, who recently finished his 2,163rd and last episode of his daytime show. He is now moving to bigger nighttime audiences with a program about law and lawyers. Rivera, it will be fondly recalled, had his nose broken when he insulted some Majority activists on camera. The Judeo-Latino says he is "going straight," whatever that means.

Jeeves, the perfect English butler in P.G. Wodehouse's novels, was played in the TV series by Stephen Fry, a Jewish actor. Once plagued by a severe cocaine habit, Fry describes himself as "90% homosexual." That a Jewish actor was chosen to represent the archetypical British manservant in a British TV series says much about the state of British television.

CNN's Larry King has just signed a contract giving him \$7 million a year for five years. Pretty good pay for a former(?) con artist.

From *Satcom Sal. 60 Minutes* did a segment on Denny's restaurants and their fawn-over-a-black-today tactics—the result of ultra-costly discrimination suits for not seating and serving blacks fast enough. Denny's, along with McDonald's and Burger King, are eatery chains I'll never patronize!


# Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

All that matters is the survival of our people. Internationalism obviously works against that. So does nationalism, insofar as it tries to integrate the nation. As our esteemed editor has pointed out in a cogently argued book, we are entering an era of ethnostates, which means the breakdown of nations, except for those few where the majority constitutes most of the population.

There is no denying that Tony Blair has been clever in bringing about agreement over the Irish question. He began by isolating the Unionists. This was done by giving Scotland back the right to have its own parliament, and Wales its own assembly. That left the unionists in Ireland with a very tricky question: which country were they in favour of uniting with. Presbyterians, who constitute a majority of the Northern Irish workers, have little in common with England and are mostly of lowland Scottish origin. The Unionist middle class is at least half Anglo-Irish. Therefore a council of "these isles" (the Nationalist Irish hate the term "British Isles") to watch over Northern Ireland will consist of the Irish Republic, Britain (i.e., England), Scotland and Wales. That is the best deal David Trimble could get under the circumstances. Everything will now depend on how it is interpreted. I don't think Trimble will sell out, as Ian Smith did in Rhodesia.

The IRA has not given up its weapons and will not do so until the British army does. Since the army would then be helpless, that would be tantamount to its withdrawal from Ireland. There is absolutely no guarantee that the IRA would follow suit. The question remains, why was the IRA ready to sign any agreement? The answer lies in the intransigent nature of the Protestant paramilitaries, most of whom are Presbyterian. (Calvinists are not compromisers, like those who belong to the Church of England or the Church of Ireland.) Scottish Presbyterians were the backbone of the American Revolution, as stated at the time by a Hessian officer on the British side. Washington himself said that, if necessary, he would make his last stand with the Scotch-Irish of his native Virginia.

The Northern Irish Presbyterians put up with a great deal for a very long time before they set up their own paramilitary groups. By 1970 they had only killed 850 Irish nationalists, as against 3,200 or so killed by the IRA. But



most of the victims of the paramilitaries were killed during the last three years of the troubles. The turning point came when the IRA sent in a quiet fanatic to set off a bomb in a fish-and-chip shop on the Protestant Shankhill Road. (The bomber was of the same stripe as Blessed Oliver Plunkett, a beatified religious fanatic who died in Dublin many years ago, and who was found to have rusty chains eating into his skin.) Nine people were killed including the bomber himself. He was buried with a laudatory graveside speech by Gerry Adams. Within a few days, the paramilitaries walked into a Catholic pub and fired at random, killing eight people, the same number as the Protestants killed on the Shankhill Road. It was clear that they were going to kill and kill, as long as the IRA went on doing so. Down in South Armagh and South Down, some hardcore Irish and sundry other crackpots wanted to "continue the struggle," but IRA leaders in Belfast were beginning to realise that their lives were on the line, that the paramilitary "mad dogs" would kill them with pleasure if they went on shooting Protestants in the back. Hence the cease-fire.

The Dublin uprising of 1916 was carried out in the open. Whatever one might think of it, there is no doubt that it involved a great deal of courage on the part of the participants. But terrorism is gangsterism, even when it seems unavoidable. The upshot is that the terroristic IRA has created some very dangerous Protestant terrorists, who would just love to even the score. If Gerry Adams gets the Nobel Peace Prize (like Menahem Begin!), it will not recommend him to the Protestant paramilitaries, let me tell you. They will be around for some time. Prince Charles was taking a calculated risk when he shook the hands of their leaders in Belfast.

Irish Americans may perhaps disagree with me. I know that, like Gerry Adams, many of them want to see a million people of British origin leave Northern Ireland. That could not be done peacefully and would involve a large number of blood sacrifices to Kathleen ni Houlihan (the guardian spirit of Ireland) by those trying to drive them out. Consider for a moment that there are six million people of Irish origin in Britain. Do the Catholics in Ireland want to undermine the position of six million people of Irish origin in Britain?



**Canada.** Canadian customs seized 98 books, suspected of being filled with hate propaganda, from the car of Paul Fromm, leader of Canadian Assn. for Free Expression. One volume was the *Irish Fairy Tale Book*, not exactly a subversive tome. Held up by another customs unit was Ingrid Rimland's three-volume novel, *Lebensraum*, which, with its Tolstoyan sweep, may turn out to be one of the great literary works of the 20th century.

The U.S. is not the only country that has Jewish hoaxers. Jonathan Bishinsky, a specialist in internal medicine, was arrested and charged with setting fires to two synagogues in London, Ontario. The Jewish firebug was also wanted for arson in Phoenix (AZ).

The Canadian Jewish News (March 3, 1998) reported the discovery, on what would have been his 63rd birthday, of a long-lost Elvis Presley album in which he belts out Yiddish songs. Elvis, some of his groupies insist, had a Jewish grandma.

**From a subscriber.** Former schoolteacher Malcolm Ross has Jews grinding their molars over his libel suit victory (Elsewhere, June 1998). A long-time target of the Chosen, Ross has written books stating that Judaism is the enemy of Christianity. For this not necessarily untrue statement, he was eventually hounded out of his teaching job by the New Brunswick Human Rights Commission. In 1993, at the apex of the controversy prior to his dismissal, fellow teachers held a day-long seminar on Holocaustology at a local synagogue. A featured speaker was Jewish cartoonist Josh Beutel, whose fervent denunciation of Ross—he called him an outright Nazi—was accompanied by overhead slides of drawings portraying him in vulgar and indecent poses. Last April, Ross won \$7,500 in damages from Beutel. The New Brunswick Teachers' Association was ordered to pay the plaintiff's costs.

Ironically the Ross case had prominent Jews reversing their usual stance. Beutel claimed in his defense that characterizing someone as a Nazi is no longer injurious to a person's reputation. The Canadian Jewish Congress waxed Jeffersonian, calling the court's decision "a very dangerous breach of the right to free speech." The CJC, lest we forget, is Canada's foremost exponent of censorship.

Beutel, of course, will appeal, which

will put the financial squeeze on Ross, who has only a fraction of the financial resources of the Jewish organizations sworn to do him in.

**England.** Over the years Instauration has reported the ongoing disparagement by neocons, liberals and Marxists of American Majority members, particularly Majority heroes. The same anti-Majority campaign has been going on in England, but with a twist. The media there do their tarring with the homosexual brush. Such gigantic public figures as Cecil Rhodes, Lord Baden Powell and, more recently, Field Marshal Kitchener, have been labeled homos, though in each case the proof was pretty skimpy. What detractors of the British Majority seem to ignore is that every man who remains unmarried during his lifetime is not necessarily a queer. Also a man who is not "hipped" on skirt-chasing is not automatically a homo. On the other hand, the true-blue, certified poofter is often madly oversexed.

Mossad ranges far afield in its wiretapping operations. Its latest screw-up was revealed when three Mossad agents were caught redhanded bugging the home of an Islamic activist in London.

"Ethnics" comprise 1% of Britain's soldiery. To boost this percentage the Ministry of Defense has invited Colin Powell to come to the Sceptred Isle and explain how well America's multiracial armed services are functioning. Instead of having a passé Negro general advise them on accelerated race-mixing, British generals might be sent to the U.S. to take a closer look at the American military. Seeing that things were not so rosy—what with all the rapes and pregnancies—they might return home in a more separatist than an integrationist mood.

**France.** A Holocaust movie, *La Vita E Bella*, won the Grand Prix at this year's Cannes Film Festival, but only after it was given a "thumbs-up" by a special ADL inquisitional unit. The problem was, the film is a comedy, which Jews feared might trivialize the sacred Six Million. After heated discussions ADL honchos gave the film the green light. If the self-appointed censors had disapproved, millions of non-Jews might never have seen it.

Of all the phony democracies in the world, France in many ways is the phoni-

est. The Front National commands 15% of the vote in most elections, yet has zero representation in the French Assembly. Two FN delegates, who did manage to get elected, were thrown out on technicalities. The Communist Party, which represents about 8% of the voters, has nearly 40 representatives in the Assembly. Such is democracy in France. The hard right party is frozen out of the French legislature. The leftist parties have voting blocs that can walk all over the conservative opposition.

The Front National had been kicked around so long by the French establishment that members can be forgiven for turning sour on the whole democratic process. If Le Pen's program is called fascism, what do you call people who see it that political parties they don't like don't count?

Any mention of a voting alliance between the mainstream conservative parties and the Front National is considered the crime of crimes. A tremendous uproar occurred when some conservatives managed to win a few regional elections with the help of Le Pen's minions. They were immediately ordered to resign their newly won offices. Most did.

In the mid-17th century French Protestants (otherwise known as Huguenots) numbered 900,000. By the time of the French Revolution the count had shrunk to 470,000. Today the Prots have bounced back to 900,000 (2% of France's population), divided among 15 denominations. (The Economist, April 18, 1998)

The world is splitting into two factions: The West where the Holocaust is Holy Writ; the Islamic world which is distancing itself from the Six Million rubric. One disbeliever, Roger Garaudy, a French convert to Islam, stated at a television seminar hosted by the Iranian Foreign Ministry some stark truths about the media's stranglehold on the Occidental mindset.

People in the West can condemn their highest leaders, but they don't have the right to utter one critical word about the Zionist regime. I can say with certainty, America and Israel are one and the same. The majority of senior American officials are Zionists and they use their influence to promote Israeli interests.

Garaudy was fined \$20,000 last February for his book, *The Founding Myth of Israeli Politics*. In the latest move to silence Le Pen, a Versailles court stripped him of his civil rights for two years, fined



him \$3,700 and handed him a three-month suspended jail term.

**Germany.** As if it were not enough that Israeli officers teach in military schools in Germany, the German government has now sent a group of army cadets to Israel for training. Upon arrival in the Jewish state, German army chief of staff Lt. General Helmut Willman and 17 cadets spent an hour touring the Yad Vashem horror museum. Among their other duties, the Germans laid a wreath on a tomb allegedly containing human ashes from Nazi death chambers.

A Düsseldorf court ruled against a "German" housewife, 25, who wanted to name her boy Chenekwahow Migiskau Nikapi-Hun-Nizeo Alessandro Majim Chayara Inti Ernesto Pribibi Kioma Pathar Henrike. The judge said a child could only have a maximum of five names.

**Austria.** Maria Koppensteiner was the daughter of Hitler's mother's sister, which makes her der Führer's cousin. Belonging to an Austrian farming family, she had absolutely nothing to do with Nazism or her famous relation. Nevertheless, Soviet goons grabbed her at WWII's end and dragged her off to a Gulag, where she died in 1953. The last time she saw Adolf was in 1906 when she was six.

**Poland.** In its gory days the Auschwitz concentration camp had a brothel, theater, post office, hospital and an orchestra. It also had a swimming pool which inmates could use. (*Auschwitz 1270 to the Present* by Deborah Dwork and Robert Jan van Pelt, W. W. Norton, NY, 1996)

**Russia.** *From a subscriber.* Supporters of Alexander Lebed, at the moment Russia's leading presidential candidate, have proposed the general's name to the Nobel Prize selection committee for his work in mediating minority disputes in Moldavia and helping to bring an end to the Chechnia conflict.

To win the governorship of Krasnoyarsk, Lebed had to polish and prettify his gruff image which had minimal appeal to the ladies of that vast region. The resourceful and obviously well-advised general accomplished this project by recruiting French movie heartthrob Alain Delon, a longtime favorite of Russian women, to campaign for him. The strategy worked beautifully. The majority of Rus-

sian women in Krasnoyarsk gave their ballots to Lebed.

Disdainful of the current literary scene in Russia, Solzhenitsyn chose to award his newly established Alexander Solzhenitsyn Literary Prize to a brilliant but little-known Russian philologist, Vladimir Toporov, who has written a two-volume work on Russian saints. In deference to new national tastes, most Russians have abandoned reading literary classics in favor of romances, detective stories, pornography and other trash translated into Russian from mostly U.S. sources.

In his appointment of Jews to top government posts, President Yeltsin seems to be following the lead of Clinton. The new Prime Minister of Russia, Sergei Kiriyenko, is part Jewish, as is Boris Nemtsov, one of the three deputy prime ministers. Boris Berezovsky, newly appointed secretary of the CIS, an association of the 12 former Soviet republics, is also Jewish. (N.Y. Times, May 15, 1998)

**Israel.** A quarter of a million foreigners, half of them illegal immigrants, toil in Israel. A Thai farm worker was arrested in April for molesting a 23-year-old Jewess. In general Thai workers are held in high esteem, except for those who eat stray dogs and cats.

While all the world knows about or believes it knows about Saddam Hussein's alleged weapons of mass destruction, Victor Ostrovsky, in his new book, *The Other Side of Deception*, tells readers about the ABC warfare laboratory in Nes Ziyyona, a town near Tel Aviv. (ABC stands for Atomic, Biological, Chemical.) To test the efficacy of some germs, Israeli scientists injected them into the veins of Palestinian prisoners. Years later, Israeli doctors took their lethal baggage to South Africa where, under the guise of running an out-patient clinic, they gave Negroes medicine that was much too dangerous to test in Israel.

The Giant Panderer made a pilgrimage to Israel in May where his brown-nosing of his hosts reached epic proportions. For some incomprehensible reason Gingrich seems to think that all the Republicans have to do to attract Democrats to their party is to out-Jew the Jews in regard to Israel. In a speech before the Israeli Knesset, he demanded that Jerusalem

become the officially recognized "eternal capital" of Israel, although the city had been the center of Palestinian civilization for the last thousand years and although it has been or should be understood that diplomacy would make the final decision on the division of Jerusalem. That Gingrich called Secretary of State Madeleine Albright a "Palestinian agent" didn't help to restart the stalled "peace process."

**South Africa.** A white male and an Asian-Indian woman copulated in full view of the passengers on a South African Airways flight from Johannesburg to London. The couple didn't even bother to use a blanket to mask some of their blatant fornication.

Apparently when apartheid ends, mass murder begins. In 1992-97, 464 white farmers have been killed in 2,730 attacks by blacks.

**Zimbabwe.** No so long ago Canaan Banana (yes, that's his real name) was the president of this country, which used to be known as Rhodesia. Today he is in court facing 11 charges of sodomy, attempted sodomy and indecent assault.

**Argentina.** Under pressure from the Jewish community, a large component (300 lieutenants) of the Buenos Aires police force was fired in early December 1997 on the basis that some policemen had connections to those who had bombed the city's Jewish community center. The fired cops are also the primary suspects in hit-and-run desecrations of Jewish graveyards. An anonymous letter in the newspaper, *Pagina*, written by a group of ex-cops called the Buenos Aires Movement, promised: "Former cops will not lay down. They shall hit. They will rob banks and attack Jews."

**Australia.** The B'nai B'rith Anti-Defamation Commission is leading the fight to muzzle the Internet. If Aussies want to keep a modicum of free speech, they better start clamping down on Jewish racism. They can start by writing the Internet Industry Assn., Box 826, Epping, NSW 2121, Australia.

**Indonesia.** The media downplayed the all-important racial factor in the recent violence that swept the country. Much of the looting and shooting was directed at the Chinese minority, which controls more than half of the country's wealth, though it comprises only 3% of the population. No wonder the Chinese are called "the Jews of the Far East."

# Books That Speak for and to the Majority

**\*The Dispossessed Majority** by Wilmot Robertson. No one who reads this all-encompassing study of the American predicament will ever again view his country and himself in the same light. The author brilliantly recounts the tragedy of a great people, the Americans of Northern European descent, who founded and built the U.S. and whose decline is the chief cause of America's decline. Although replete with cogent criticism of the people and events which have brought America low, the book ends on a positive, optimistic note, which envisions a resurgent American Majority liberating its institutions from the control of intolerant intellectuals innately programmed to destroy what they could never create. Over 150,000 copies sold. Updated 1996 hardcover edition, 613 pages, 1,000 footnotes, \$25.

**\*Ventilations** by Wilmot Robertson. The author of *The Dispossessed Majority* firms up and expands some of his key ideas. In fourteen probing essays he answers his critics, comments on current domestic and foreign policy, and tells young Majority members how they can best oppose the reverse discrimination that is making them second-class citizens. Also included is a blow-by-blow description of the media's suppression of *The Dispossessed Majority*. Softcover, 115 pages, \$12.

**\*The Ethnostate** by Wilmot Robertson. Six years in the writing! A savvy prospectus for a post-modern statecraft. The author warns that the U.S. is getting too big, too racially divided, too bankrupt to survive. He proposes that the only means of saving Americans—it may be too late to save America—is to spin them off into homogeneous, independent regions or ethnostates. Otherwise the U.S. will become another Brazil or Somalia, as it sinks deeper into barbarism. Such chapters as "Up the Devolution," "The Morale Factor" and "Unguessing History" overbrim with constructive ideas for solving cultural and economic problems that till now have seemed insoluble. Softcover, 232 pages, \$12.

**\*Race and Reason and Race and Reality** by Carleton Putnam. In response to the black power agitation of the 50s and 60s came two searching, scholarly, objective, last-word studies of the equalitarian movement. When everyone else was silent, Carleton Putnam—lawyer, airline executive and historian—spoke out. In reasoned, crystalline prose he methodically demolishes almost every point, argument and cliché in the liberal-minority ideological handbook, warning us in advance of the affirmative action programs that were bound to follow. Softcover, \$12 each.

**\*Why Civilizations Self-Destruct** by Elmer Pendell. To survive, we must reverse the lethal process that increases human quantity while reducing human quality. In the precivilized states of man, natural selection produced a superior variety of human whose intelligence was eventually channeled into building an advanced social order that protected instead of eliminated the unfit. When the protected outnumber the protectors, civilization begins to die. If we follow Dr. Pendell's advice, we could be the first to successfully defy this apparently inexorable life-and-death cycle. Softcover, 196 pages, \$13.

**The Mediator** by Richard Swartzbaugh. The author, an assistant professor of anthropology, explains the many clever ways the mediators and go-betweens who abound in America exert great influence over our daily lives. The book's subtitle could easily be, "The Unmasking of a Powerful Establishment." Hardcover, 133 pages, \$13.

**Camp of the Saints** by Jean Raspail. Ghastly, mind-reeling scenario of what is in store for the Occident if liberalism, apathy and minority racism continue to weaken the Western will to survive. The author, a bitterly sardonic Frenchman, charts the dying convulsions of France from the day a million famished Third Worlders embark on a fleet of leaking hulks in Calcutta and sail off to the land of milk and honey. One of the first great uncompromising novels of modern times. Hardcover, 311 pages, \$23.

**Race** by Dr. John R. Baker. The world-renowned Oxford biologist has assembled almost all the available physiological and historical evidence to prove that races differ mentally as well as physically. Baker's book gives the reader the excited feeling of discovering a whole new fund of knowledge, almost a secret knowledge, since the facts have been kept from him for so long by a "politically correct" academic establishment. There are many keys to history—Toynbee's, Spengler's, Marx's, Freud's—but surely it is time to examine the master key. Hardcover, 625 pages, index, \$25.

**Toward a New Science of Man** by Robert Lenski. A constitutional psychologist explores the biological forces which underlie white despair and disintegration. The search for the behavioral causes of decline uncovers many little-known relationships: eye color and reactivity; social mobility and fertility; somatotype and personality; human beauty and symmetry.

Quotations from 500 great writers on Nationalism, Parasitism, Dominance, Shame, Sexual Selection, Immigration and "all the ideologically hot subjects of our day." Softcover, 252 pages, \$15.

**The French Revolution in San Domingo** by Lothrop Stoddard. A grim, frighteningly lucid account of the step-by-step destruction of white civilization in the richest island in the New World. By the time the Negro emperors had taken over, every single white colonist, together with his wife and children, had either fled or been massacred. The end result was Haiti, today the poorest and most rundown of the large Caribbean islands. Softcover, 410 pages, \$16.

**The Ideal and Destiny** by Richard McCulloch. An 11th-hour philosophy for racial salvation. Championing the cause of Northern European man, this intelligent, thorough diagnosis and prognosis of our time of troubles tells us how to rise above the isms that are plaguing us. To ensure our resurgence, the author has developed new ways of understanding history, economics, sociology, anthropology, culture and aesthetics, especially the latter. He exposes the destructive excesses of altruism as a "quest for non-existence" and challenges the "metaphysical significance" bestowed on the favored peoples and causes of liberaldom. Hardcover, 534 pages, \$20.

**Destiny of Angels** by Richard McCulloch. The author puts particular emphasis on the steps that must be taken to save Northern Europeans and their descendants overseas from racial suicide. His thesis is that it will be a great historic tragedy if Northern Europeans and their descendants overseas do not fulfill their enormous evolutionary potential. A paean to the incomparable talents and accomplishments of the most aesthetic race. Hardcover, 314 pages, \$20.

**The Nordish Quest**. Still another provocative book by Richard McCulloch, who emphasizes the ethical factor in racial relations. After defining what he calls the Nordish race in clear anthropological terms, he asks for an end to all types of racial interference, miscegenation and cultural imperialism. Any form of racial supremacy is strongly condemned. Any form of racial separation highly recommended. Softcover, 108 pages, \$12.

**The Racial Compact**. In his fourth book Richard McCulloch focuses on the primary and urgent issue of racial preservation, describing the rising tide of multiracialism now engulfing the Northern European peoples and its racially destructive consequences. To save the Northern European race he offers an alternative to the racial ethics of the past and present, calling for a new culture of racial relations that promotes racial rights, preservation and independence. A foundation and manifesto for a racial preservationist movement. Softcover, 135 pages, \$10.00.

**The Crowd** by Gustave Le Bon. The brilliant French psychologist jumped the gun on Freud, Pareto and Ortega y Gasset in a study of the popular mind. Crowds, wrote Le Bon, do things which individuals would never do. They have a personality of their own, often a destructive personality, and are the unruly offspring of mass democracy. The author's low opinion of historians, his rueful opinion of religion and his high opinion of race are refreshingly controversial and mentally stimulating. Softcover, 207 pages, \$18.

**Hereditary Genius** by Sir Francis Galton. Inventor of fingerprinting and founder of the science of eugenics, Galton demonstrates the inheritance of intelligence by tracing generations of achievement in eminent British families. Hardcover, 446 pages, \$22.

**Instauration**. Wilmot Robertson's monthly magazine, essentially an ongoing update of *The Dispossessed Majority*, fully briefs its subscribers on the political, economic and cultural war being waged against Euro-Americans. Only by understanding what is being done to us—and how it is being done—can we mount an intelligent defense. *Instauration* raises Majority morale by projecting and detailing the race's great potential. A mental and physical tonic that will keep you in touch with people who think as you do and who are doing something about our predicament. Now in its 21st year. Twelve issues annually, mailed in plain white envelope. \$35 third class; \$45 first class; \$48 Canada; \$50 foreign (surface mail); \$67 foreign (air); sample copy \$3.

**\*Best of Instauration** in two volumes: 1977 and 1978. A choice selection of the contents of two years of *Instauration*. The original page size has been retained, meaning that the 116 pages of each book represent at least 232 ordinary book pages. Virtual encyclopedias of revisionist history, the two volumes are loaded with ingenious, factual writing on philosophy, history, literature and current events that can't be found in other contemporary publications. Softcover, \$12 each.

HOWARD ALLEN ENTERPRISES, INC. • BOX 76 • CAPE CANAVERAL, FL 32920

Add \$3.00 per book for postage and handling, \$1.75 per book multiple orders. Florida residents please add 6% sales tax.